



ACGME Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in Internal Medicine including FAQs

Revision Information

ACGME-approved interim revision: September 28, 2026; effective July 1, 2027

Definitions

For more information, see the [ACGME Glossary of Terms](#).

Core Requirements: Statements that define structure, resource, or process elements essential to every graduate medical educational program.

Detail Requirements: Statements that describe a specific structure, resource, or process, for achieving compliance with a Core Requirement. Programs and sponsoring institutions in substantial compliance with the Outcome Requirements may utilize alternative or innovative approaches to meet Core Requirements.

Outcome Requirements: Statements that specify expected measurable or observable attributes (knowledge, abilities, skills, or attitudes) of residents or fellows at key stages of their graduate medical education.

Osteopathic Recognition

For programs with or applying for Osteopathic Recognition, the Osteopathic Recognition Requirements also apply (www.acgme.org/OsteopathicRecognition).

Table of Contents

Introduction	4
Definition of Graduate Medical Education	4
Definition of Specialty	4
Section 1: Oversight	5
Sponsoring Institution	5
Participating Sites	6
Resources	7
Other Learners and Health Care Personnel	9
Section 2: Personnel	9
Program Director	9
Faculty	16
Program Coordinator	24
Other Program Personnel	27
Section 3: Resident Appointments	27
Eligibility Requirements	27
Resident Complement	28
Resident Transfers	29
Section 4: Educational Program	29
Length of Program	29
Educational Components	30
ACGME Competencies	31
Curriculum Organization and Resident Experiences	36
Scholarship	43
Program Responsibilities	43
Faculty Scholarly Activity	43
Resident Scholarly Activity	44
Section 5: Evaluation	45
Clinical Competency Committee	47
Faculty Evaluation	48
Program Evaluation and Improvement	49
Board Certification	51
Section 6: The Learning and Working Environment	52
Culture of Safety	52
Quality Metrics	53
Supervision and Accountability	53
Professionalism	55
Well-Being	57
Fatigue Mitigation	60
Clinical Responsibilities	60
Teamwork	61
Transitions of Care	61
Clinical Experience and Education	62
Frequently Asked Questions: Internal Medicine	67

ACGME Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education

in Internal Medicine

Common Program Requirements (Residency) are in BOLD

Where applicable, italicized text is used to provide definitions or describe the underlying philosophy of the requirements in that section. These statements are not program requirements and are therefore not citable.

Introduction

Definition of Graduate Medical Education

Graduate medical education is the crucial step of professional development between medical school and autonomous clinical practice. It is in this vital phase of the continuum of medical education that residents learn to provide optimal patient care under the supervision of faculty members who not only instruct, but serve as role models of excellence, compassion, cultural sensitivity, professionalism, and scholarship.

Graduate medical education transforms medical students into physician scholars who care for the patient, patient's family, and a heterogeneous community; create and integrate new knowledge into practice; and educate future generations of physicians to serve the public. Practice patterns established during graduate medical education persist many years later.

Graduate medical education has as a core tenet the graded authority and responsibility for patient care. The care of patients is undertaken with appropriate faculty supervision and conditional independence, allowing residents to attain the knowledge, skills, attitudes, judgment, and empathy required for autonomous practice. Graduate medical education develops physicians who focus on excellence in delivery of safe, accessible, affordable, high-quality care for all, to improve the health of the populations they serve.

Graduate medical education occurs in clinical settings that establish the foundation for practice-based and lifelong learning. The professional development of the physician, begun in medical school, continues through faculty modeling of the effacement of self-interest in a humanistic environment that emphasizes joy in curiosity, problem-solving, academic rigor, and discovery. This transformation is often physically, emotionally, and intellectually demanding and occurs in a variety of clinical learning environments committed to graduate medical education and the well-being of patients, residents, fellows, faculty members, students, and all members of the health care team.

Definition of Specialty

Internists are specialists who care for adult patients through comprehensive, clinical problem solving. They integrate the history, physical examination, and all available data to deliver, direct, and coordinate care across varied clinical settings, both in person and remotely through telemedicine. Internists are diagnosticians who manage the care of patients who present with undifferentiated, complex illnesses, and comorbidities; promote community health; collaborate with colleagues; and lead, mentor, and serve multidisciplinary teams. Internists integrate care across organ sys-

tems and disease processes throughout the adult lifespan. They are expert communicators, creative and adaptable to the changing needs of patients and the health care environment. They advocate for their patients within the health care system to achieve the patient's and family's care goals. Internists embrace lifelong learning and the privilege and responsibility of educating patients, populations, and other health professionals. The discipline is characterized by a compassionate, cognitive, scholarly, relationship-oriented approach to comprehensive patient care.

The successful, fulfilled internist maintains this core function and these core values. Internists find meaning and purpose in caring for individual patients with increased efficiency through well-functioning teams, and are equipped and trained to manage change effectively and lead those teams. They understand and manage the business of medicine to optimize cost-conscious care for their patients. They apply data management science to population and patient applications and help solve the clinical problems of their patients and their community. Internists communicate fluently and are able to educate and clearly explain complex data and concepts to all audiences, especially patients. They collaborate with patients to implement health care ethics in all aspects of their care. Internists display emotional intelligence in their relationships with colleagues, team members, and patients, maximizing both their own and their teams' well-being. They are dedicated professionals who have the knowledge, skills, and attitudes to effectively use all available resources, and bring intellectual curiosity and human warmth to their patients and community.

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: The Review Committee developed this definition to clearly articulate the core functions and values of internal medicine and describe what is needed to move the specialty forward through program requirements. They express what the Review Committee aspires to see in the graduates of internal medicine residency programs, faculty members, and the broader internal medicine community.

Section 1: Oversight

Sponsoring Institution

The Sponsoring Institution is the organization or entity that assumes the ultimate financial and academic responsibility for a program of graduate medical education, consistent with the ACGME Institutional Requirements.

When the Sponsoring Institution is not a rotation site for the program, the most commonly utilized site of clinical activity for the program is the primary clinical site.

Background and Intent: Participating sites will reflect the health care needs of the community and the educational needs of the residents. A wide variety of organizations may provide a robust educational experience and, thus, Sponsoring Institutions and participating sites may encompass inpatient and outpatient settings including, but not limited to a university, a medical school, a teaching hospital, a nursing home, a school of

public health, a health department, a public health agency, an organized health care delivery system, a medical examiner's office, an educational consortium, a teaching health center, a physician group practice, federally qualified health center, or an educational foundation.

- 1.1. The program must be sponsored by one ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution. (Core)

Participating Sites

A participating site is an organization providing educational experiences or educational assignments/rotations for residents.

- 1.2. The program, with approval of its Sponsoring Institution, must designate a primary clinical site. (Core)
- 1.2.a. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure that there is a reporting relationship between the internal medicine subspecialty programs and the residency program director. (Core)
- 1.3. There must be a program letter of agreement (PLA) between the program and each participating site that governs the relationship between the program and the participating site providing a required assignment. (Core)
[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]
- 1.3.a. The PLA must be renewed at least every 10 years. (Core)
- [Pending the outcome of the major revision of the Common Program Requirements, enforcement of Common Program Requirement 1.3.a has been suspended]
- 1.3.b. The PLA must be approved by the designated institutional official (DIO). (Core)

Background and Intent: While all residency programs must be sponsored by a single ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution, many programs will utilize other clinical settings to provide required or elective training experiences. At times it is appropriate to utilize community sites that are not owned by or affiliated with the Sponsoring Institution. Some of these sites may be remote for geographic, transportation, or communication issues. When utilizing such sites, the program must ensure the quality of the educational experience.

Suggested elements to be considered in PLAs will be found in the Guide to the Common Program Requirements. These include:

- Identifying the faculty members who will assume educational and supervisory responsibility for residents

- Specifying the responsibilities for teaching, supervision, and formal evaluation of residents
- Specifying the duration and content of the educational experience
- Stating the policies and procedures that will govern resident education during the assignment

- 1.4. The program must monitor the clinical learning and working environment at all participating sites. (Core)
- 1.5. At each participating site there must be one faculty member, designated by the program director as the site director, who is accountable for resident education at that site, in collaboration with the program director. (Core)
- 1.6. The program director must submit any additions or deletions of participating sites routinely providing an educational experience, required for all residents, of one month full time equivalent (FTE) or more through the ACGME's Accreditation Data System (ADS). (Core)
- 1.7. **Resources**
The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure the availability of adequate resources for resident education. (Core)
 - 1.7.a. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring institution, must:
 - 1.7.a.1. provide the broad range of facilities and clinical support services necessary to provide comprehensive and timely care of adult patients. (Core)
 - 1.7.a.2. ensure that the program has adequate space available, including meeting rooms, classrooms, examination rooms, computers, visual and other educational aids, and office space. (Core)
 - 1.7.a.3. ensure that appropriate in-person or remote/virtual consultations, including those done using telecommunication technology, are available in settings in which residents work. (Core)
 - 1.7.a.4. provide access to an electronic health record. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: An electronic health record (EHR) can include electronic notes, orders, and lab reporting. Such a system also facilitates data reporting regarding the care provided to a patient or a panel of patients. It may also include systems for enhancing the quality and safety of patient care. An EHR does not have to be present at all participating sites and does not have to include every element of patient care information. However, a system that simply reports laboratory or imaging results does not meet the definition of an EHR.

- 1.7.b. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring institution, must provide residents with access to training using simulation to support resident education and patient safety. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: The Review Committee does not expect each program to own a simulator or to have a simulation center. "Simulation" is used broadly to mean learning about patient care in settings that do not include actual patients. This could include objective structured clinical examinations (OSCEs), standardized patients, patient simulators, or electronic simulation of resuscitation, procedures, and other clinical scenarios.

- 1.7.c. The program must provide residents with a patient population representative of both the broad spectrum of clinical disorders and medical conditions managed by internists, and of the community being served. (Core)

- 1.8. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure healthy and safe learning and working environments that promote resident well-being and provide for:**

- 1.8.a. access to food while on duty; (Core)**

- 1.8.b. safe, quiet, clean, and private sleep/rest facilities available and accessible for residents with proximity appropriate for safe patient care; (Core)**

Background and Intent: Care of patients within a hospital or health system occurs continually through the day and night. Such care requires that residents function at their peak abilities, which requires the work environment to provide them with the ability to meet their basic needs within proximity of their clinical responsibilities. Access to food and rest are examples of these basic needs, which must be met while residents are working. Residents should have access to refrigeration where food may be stored. Food should be available when residents are required to be in the hospital overnight. Rest facilities are necessary, even when overnight call is not required, to accommodate the fatigued resident.

- 1.8.c. clean and private facilities for lactation that have refrigeration capabilities, with proximity appropriate for safe patient care; (Core)**

Background and Intent: Sites must provide private and clean locations where residents may lactate and store the milk within a refrigerator. These locations should be in close proximity to clinical responsibilities. It would be helpful to have additional support within these locations that may assist the resident with the continued care of patients, such as a computer and a phone. While space is important, the time required for lactation is also critical for the well-being of the resident and the resident's family, as outlined in 6.13.c.1.

- 1.8.d. security and safety measures appropriate to the participating site; and, (Core)
- 1.8.e. accommodations for residents with disabilities consistent with the Sponsoring Institution's policy. (Core)
- 1.9. Residents must have ready access to specialty-specific and other appropriate reference material in print or electronic format. This must include access to electronic medical literature databases with full text capabilities. (Core)
- 1.10. **Other Learners and Health Care Personnel**
The presence of other learners and other health care personnel, including, but not limited to residents from other programs, subspecialty fellows, and advanced practice providers, must not negatively impact the appointed residents' education. (Core)

Background and Intent: The clinical learning environment has become increasingly complex and often includes care providers, students, and post-graduate residents and fellows from multiple disciplines. The presence of these practitioners and their learners enriches the learning environment. Programs have a responsibility to monitor the learning environment to ensure that residents' education is not compromised by the presence of other providers and learners.

Section 2: Personnel

- 2.1. **Program Director**
There must be one faculty member appointed as program director with authority and accountability for the overall program, including compliance with all applicable program requirements. (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 2.2. The Sponsoring Institution's GMEC must approve a change in program director and must verify the program director's licensure and clinical appointment. (Core)

Background and Intent: While the ACGME recognizes the value of input from numerous individuals in the management of a residency, a single individual must be designated as program director and have overall responsibility for the program. The program director's nomination is reviewed and approved by the GMEC.

- 2.3. The program must demonstrate retention of the program director for a length of time adequate to maintain continuity of leadership and program stability. (Core)

Background and Intent: The success of residency programs is generally enhanced by continuity in the program director position. The professional activities required of a program director are unique and complex and take time to master. All programs are encouraged to undertake succession planning to facilitate program stability when there is necessary turnover in the program director position.

- 2.4. The program director and, as applicable, the program’s leadership team, must be provided with support adequate for administration of the program based upon its size and configuration. (Core)**

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 2.4.a. At a minimum, the program director must be provided with the dedicated time and support specified below for administration of the program: (Core)**

Number of Approved Resident Positions	Minimum Support Required (FTE)
<7	0.2
7-10	0.4
>10	0.5

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]

- 2.4.b. Programs with more than 15 residents must appoint an associate program director(s). The associate program director(s) must be provided with support equal to a dedicated minimum time for administration of the program as follows: (Core)**

Number of Approved Resident Positions	Minimum Support Required (FTE)
<15	0
16-20	0.1
21-25	0.2
26-30	0.3
31-35	0.4
36-40	0.5
41-45	0.6
46-50	0.7
51-55	0.8
56-60	0.9
61-65	1.0
66-70	1.1
71-75	1.2
76-80	1.3
81-85	1.4
86-90	1.5
91-95	1.6
96-100	1.7

Number of Approved Resident Positions	Minimum Support Required (FTE)
101-105	1.8
106-110	1.9
111-115	2.0
116-120	2.1
121-125	2.2
126-130	2.3
131-135	2.4
136-140	2.5
141-145	2.6
146-150	2.7
151-155	2.8
156-160	2.9
161-165	3.0
166-170	3.1
171-175	3.2
176-180	3.3
181-185	3.4
186-190	3.5
191-195	3.6
196-200	3.7
201-205	3.8
206-210	3.9
211-215	4.0
216-220	4.1
221-225	4.2
226-230	4.3

Background and Intent: To achieve successful graduate medical education, individuals serving as education and administrative leaders of residency programs, as well as those significantly engaged in the education, supervision, evaluation, and mentoring of residents, must have sufficient dedicated professional time to perform the vital activities required to sustain an accredited program.

The ultimate outcome of graduate medical education is excellence in resident education and patient care.

The program director and, as applicable, the program leadership team, devote a portion of their professional effort to the oversight and management of the residency program, as defined in 2.6. – 2.6.I. Both provision of support for the time required for the leadership effort and flexibility regarding how this support is provided are important. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, may provide support for this time in a variety of ways. Examples of support may include, but are not

limited to, salary support, supplemental compensation, educational value units, or relief of time from other professional duties.

Program directors and, as applicable, members of the program leadership team, who are new to the role may need to devote additional time to program oversight and management initially as they learn and become proficient in administering the program. It is suggested that during this initial period the support described above be increased as needed.

In addition, it is important to remember that the dedicated time and support requirement for ACGME activities is a *minimum*, recognizing that, depending on the unique needs of the program, additional support may be warranted. The need to ensure adequate resources, including adequate support and dedicated time for the program director, is also addressed in Institutional Requirement 2.2.a. The amount of support and dedicated time needed for individual programs will vary based on a number of factors and may exceed the minimum specified in the applicable specialty/subspecialty-specific Program Requirements. It is expected that the Sponsoring Institution, in partnership with its accredited programs, will ensure support for program directors to fulfill their program responsibilities effectively.

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: For instance, a program with an approved complement of 36 residents is required to have 50% FTE support for the program director and 50 percent FTE support for the associate program director(s). The Review Committee decided not to specify how the support should be distributed among associate program directors to allow programs, in partnership with their sponsoring institution, to allocate the support as they see fit. Further, the program could redistribute the FTE back to the program director; for example, in this instance, the associate program director(s) could receive 25 percent FTE support and the program director could receive 75 percent FTE support (50 percent plus the remaining 25 percent from the associate program director FTE support).

[See FAQ in Appendix]

2.5. Qualifications of the Program Director

The program director must possess specialty expertise and at least three years of documented educational and/or administrative experience, or qualifications acceptable to the Review Committee. (Core)

Background and Intent: Leading a program requires knowledge and skills that are established during residency and subsequently further developed. The time period from completion of residency until assuming the role of program director allows the individual to cultivate leadership abilities

while becoming professionally established. The three-year period is intended for the individual's professional maturation.

The broad allowance for educational and/or administrative experience recognizes that strong leaders arise through a variety of pathways. These areas of expertise are important when identifying and appointing a program director. The choice of a program director should be informed by the mission of the program and the needs of the community.

In certain circumstances, the program and Sponsoring Institution may propose and the Review Committee may accept a candidate for program director who fulfills these goals but does not meet the three-year minimum.

- 2.5.a. The program director must possess current certification in the specialty for which they are the program director by the American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM) or by the American Osteopathic Board of Internal Medicine (AOBIM), or specialty qualifications that are acceptable to the Review Committee. (Core)**

- 2.5.a.1. The Review Committee only accepts current certification in internal medicine from the ABIM or AOBIM. (Core)**
[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 2.5.b. The program director must demonstrate ongoing clinical activity. (Core)**

Background and Intent: A program director is a role model for faculty members and residents. The program director must participate in clinical activity consistent with the specialty. This activity will allow the program director to role model the Core Competencies for the faculty members and residents.

- 2.5.c. The program director must have experience working as part of an interdisciplinary, inter-professional team to create an educational environment that promotes high-quality care, patient safety, and resident well-being. (Core)**

2.6. Program Director Responsibilities

The program director must have responsibility, authority, and accountability for: administration and operations; teaching and scholarly activity; resident recruitment and selection, evaluation, and promotion of residents, and disciplinary action; supervision of residents; and resident education in the context of patient care. (Core)

- 2.6.a. The program director must be a role model of professionalism. (Core)**

Background and Intent: The program director, as the leader of the program, must serve as a role model to residents in addition to fulfilling the

technical aspects of the role. As residents are expected to demonstrate compassion, integrity, and respect for others, they must be able to look to the program director as an exemplar. It is of utmost importance, therefore, that the program director model outstanding professionalism, high quality patient care, educational excellence, and a scholarly approach to work. The program director creates an environment where respectful discussion is welcome, with the goal of continued improvement of the educational experience.

- 2.6.b. The program director must design and conduct the program in a fashion consistent with the needs of the community, the mission(s) of the Sponsoring Institution, and the mission(s) of the program. (Core)**

Background and Intent: The mission of institutions participating in graduate medical education is to improve the health of the public. Each community has health needs that vary based upon location and demographics. Programs must understand the social determinants of health of the populations they serve and incorporate them in the design and implementation of the program curriculum, with the ultimate goal of addressing these needs and eliminating health disparities.

- 2.6.c. The program director must administer and maintain a learning environment conducive to educating the residents in each of the ACGME Competency domains. (Core)**

Background and Intent: The program director may establish a leadership team to assist in the accomplishment of program goals. Residency programs can be highly complex. In a complex organization, the leader typically has the ability to delegate authority to others, yet remains accountable. The leadership team may include physician and non-physician personnel with varying levels of education, training, and experience.

- 2.6.d. The program director must have the authority to approve or remove physicians and non-physicians as faculty members at all participating sites, including the designation of core faculty members, and must develop and oversee a process to evaluate candidates prior to approval. (Core)**

Background and Intent: The provision of optimal and safe patient care requires a team approach. The education of residents by non-physician educators may enable the resident to better manage patient care and provides valuable advancement of the residents' knowledge. Furthermore, other individuals contribute to the education of residents in the basic science of the specialty or in research methodology. If the program director determines that the contribution of a non-physician individual is significant to the education of the residents, the program director may designate

the individual as a program faculty member or a program core faculty member.

- 2.6.e.** The program director must have the authority to remove residents from supervising interactions and/or learning environments that do not meet the standards of the program. (Core)

Background and Intent: The program director has the responsibility to ensure that all who educate residents effectively role model the Core Competencies. Working with a resident is a privilege that is earned through effective teaching and professional role modeling. This privilege may be removed by the program director when the standards of the clinical learning environment are not met.

There may be faculty in a department who are not part of the educational program, and the program director controls who is teaching the residents.

- 2.6.f.** The program director must submit accurate and complete information required and requested by the DIO, GMEC, and ACGME. (Core)

Background and Intent: This includes providing information in the form and format requested by the ACGME and obtaining requisite sign-off by the DIO.

- 2.6.g.** The program director must provide a learning and working environment in which residents have the opportunity to raise concerns, report mistreatment, and provide feedback in a confidential manner as appropriate, without fear of intimidation or retaliation. (Core)

- 2.6.h.** The program director must ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures related to grievances and due process, including when action is taken to suspend or dismiss, or not to promote or renew the appointment of a resident. (Core)

Background and Intent: A program does not operate independently of its Sponsoring Institution. It is expected that the program director will be aware of the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures, and will ensure they are followed by the program's leadership, faculty members, support personnel, and residents.

- 2.6.i.** The program director must ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures on employment and non-discrimination. (Core)

- 2.6.j.** The program director must document verification of education for all residents within 30 days of completion of or departure from the program. (Core)

- 2.6.k. The program director must provide verification of an individual resident's education upon the resident's request, within 30 days. (Core)

Background and Intent: Primary verification of graduate medical education is important to credentialing of physicians for further training and practice. Such verification must be accurate and timely. Sponsoring Institution and program policies for record retention are important to facilitate timely documentation of residents who have previously completed the program. Residents who leave the program prior to completion also require timely documentation of their summative evaluation.

- 2.6.l. The program director must provide applicants who are offered an interview with information related to the applicant's eligibility for the relevant specialty board examination(s). (Core)

Faculty

Faculty members are a foundational element of graduate medical education – faculty members teach residents how to care for patients. Faculty members provide an important bridge allowing residents to grow and become practice-ready, ensuring that patients receive the highest quality of care. They are role models for future generations of physicians by demonstrating compassion, commitment to excellence in teaching and patient care, professionalism, and a dedication to lifelong learning. Faculty members experience the pride and joy of fostering the growth and development of future colleagues. The care they provide is enhanced by the opportunity to teach and model exemplary behavior. By employing a scholarly approach to patient care, faculty members, through the graduate medical education system, improve the health of the individual and the population.

Faculty members ensure that patients receive the level of care expected from a specialist in the field. They recognize and respond to the needs of the patients, residents, community, and institution. Faculty members provide appropriate levels of supervision to promote patient safety. Faculty members create an effective learning environment by acting in a professional manner and attending to the well-being of the residents and themselves.

Background and Intent: “Faculty” refers to the entire teaching force responsible for educating residents. The term “faculty,” including “core faculty,” does not imply or require an academic appointment.

- 2.7. There must be a sufficient number of faculty members with competence to instruct and supervise all residents. (Core)
- 2.7.a. Faculty members with credentials appropriate to the care setting must supervise all clinical experiences. (Core)
[See FAQ in Appendix]
- 2.7.b. There must be internal medicine physicians to teach and supervise internal medicine residents while they are on internal medicine inpatient and outpatient rotations. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: The Review Committee believes the

best role models for internal medicine residents are internal medicine physicians. Providing such faculty members ensures specialty-specific educators with significant experience managing and providing comprehensive patient care to complex patients. However, the Review Committee recognizes there are circumstances and clinical settings in which a non-internist who has been approved by the program director would be an appropriate supervisor. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- On inpatient medicine ward rotations, it is appropriate for a family medicine physician with extensive experience in caring for inpatient adults to teach and supervise internal medicine residents, provided they are approved by the site director and the program director. Working as an adult hospitalist for at least three years would be one way to demonstrate such extensive experience.
- On inpatient medicine rotations in the critical care setting, it would be appropriate for a non-internist who has been approved by the program director and the medical intensive care unit director to teach and supervise internal medicine residents. For example, it would be appropriate for emergency medicine physicians in internal medicine-critical care medicine to supervise internal medicine residents on critical care medicine rotations. It is also appropriate for critical care physicians from other disciplines to teach and supervise in limited circumstances, such as evening or weekend cross-coverage.
- On outpatient medicine rotations/experiences, it is appropriate for a non-internist with documented expertise (e.g., a family medicine physician with extensive out-patient/ ambulatory experience or procedural proficiency) to teach and supervise internal medicine residents provided the non-internist is approved by the site director and the program director.

2.7.c. Relevant internal medicine subspecialty physicians must be available to teach and supervise internal medicine residents while they are on internal medicine subspecialty rotations. (Core)

2.7.d. Relevant subspecialty physicians should be available to teach and supervise internal medicine residents while they are on multidisciplinary subspecialty rotations. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: For example, it would be appropriate for a geriatric medicine physician faculty member to teach and supervise internal medicine residents on geriatric medicine rotations.

2.7.e. Relevant specialty physicians should be available to teach and supervise internal medicine residents while they are having non-internal medicine experiences. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: For example, it would be appropriate for a faculty member who is a neurologist to teach and supervise internal medicine residents on neurology rotations.

2.8. Faculty Responsibilities

Faculty members must be role models of professionalism. (Core)

- 2.8.a. Faculty members must demonstrate commitment to the delivery of safe, high-quality, cost-effective, patient-centered care. (Core)**

Background and Intent: Patients have the right to expect quality, cost-effective care with patient safety at its core. The foundation for meeting this expectation is formed during residency and fellowship. Faculty members model these goals and continually strive for improvement in care and cost, embracing a commitment to the patient and the community they serve.

- 2.8.b. Faculty members must demonstrate a strong interest in the education of residents, including devoting sufficient time to the educational program to fulfill their supervisory and teaching responsibilities. (Core)**

- 2.8.c. Faculty members must administer and maintain an educational environment conducive to educating residents. (Core)**

[Pending the outcome of the major revision of the Common Program Requirements, enforcement of Common Program Requirement 2.8.c. has been suspended]

- 2.8.d. Faculty members must regularly participate in organized clinical discussions, rounds, journal clubs, and conferences. (Core)**

- 2.8.e. Faculty members must pursue faculty development designed to enhance their skills at least annually: (Core)**

Background and Intent: Faculty development is intended to describe structured programming developed for the purpose of enhancing transference of knowledge, skill, and behavior from the educator to the learner. Faculty development may occur in a variety of configurations (lecture, workshop, etc.) using internal and/or external resources. Programming is typically needs-based (individual or group) and may be specific to the institution or the program. Faculty development programming is to be reported for the residency program faculty in the aggregate.

- 2.8.e.1. as educators and evaluators; (Detail)**

- 2.8.e.2. in quality improvement, eliminating health care disparities, and patient safety; (Detail)**

- 2.8.e.3. in fostering their own and their residents' well-being; and, (Detail)**

- 2.8.e.4. in patient care based on their practice-based learning and improvement**

efforts. (Detail)

Background and Intent: Practice-based learning serves as the foundation for the practice of medicine. Through a systematic analysis of one's practice and review of the literature, one is able to make adjustments that improve patient outcomes and care. Thoughtful consideration to practice-based analysis improves quality of care, as well as patient safety. This allows faculty members to serve as role models for residents in practice-based learning.

- 2.8.f. There must be a subspecialty education coordinator (SEC) in each of the subspecialties of internal medicine and in the multidisciplinary subspecialty of geriatric medicine. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: An SEC is necessary in each of the following subspecialties of internal medicine: cardiovascular disease; critical care medicine; endocrinology, diabetes, and metabolism; gastroenterology; hematology; infectious disease; nephrology; medical oncology; pulmonary disease; and rheumatology.

SECs are responsible for developing the educational content and curriculum for the subspecialty area. An associate program director or core faculty member can also function as an SEC with adequate additional administrative resources. The Review Committee encourages programs that cannot identify an SEC for a particular subspecialty area to consider the option of sharing one with a program that does have one. The SEC can be remotely located and associated with multiple residency programs.

- 2.8.f.1. Each SEC must be accountable to the program director for coordination of all educational experiences in the subspecialty area. (Core)

- 2.8.g. There must be faculty members with expertise in the analysis and interpretation of practice data, data management science and clinical decision support systems, and managing emerging health issues. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: Advances in technology are likely to significantly impact and redefine patient care, and this requirement is intended to ensure that residents are provided with access to faculty members with knowledge, skills, or experience in the analysis and interpretation of practice data, and who are able to analyze and evaluate the validity of decisions from

advanced data management and clinical decision support systems. Faculty members with expertise in this area can be physicians or non-physicians, core or non-core faculty members. Institutions may already have such experts assisting programs in meeting the Common Program Requirement to systematically analyze practice data to improve patient care [4.7.d.]. The Review Committee encourages programs that cannot identify an existing internal candidate with expertise in this area to consider the option of sharing one with a program that does. The faculty member can be remotely located and associated with multiple residency programs.

- 2.8.h. Faculty members must have experience working in interdisciplinary, interprofessional team-based health care delivery models. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: The Review Committee believes that interdisciplinary, interprofessional, team-based care is the foundation of care delivery. Individuals working within such teams are essential to resident education.

2.9. Faculty Qualifications

Faculty members must have appropriate qualifications in their field and hold appropriate institutional appointments. (Core)

2.10. Physician Faculty Members

Physician faculty members must have current certification in the specialty by the American Board of Internal Medicine or the American Osteopathic Board of Internal Medicine, or possess qualifications judged acceptable to the Review Committee. (Core)

- 2.10.a. **Any other specialty or subspecialty physician faculty members must have current certification in their specialty or subspecialty by the appropriate American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying board, or possess qualifications judged acceptable to the Review Committee.** (Core)

Background and Intent: The ACGME expects that physician faculty members (especially core and other required faculty members) have current certification in the specialty or subspecialty by the relevant ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board. However, in certain instances when a physician faculty member was never eligible for initial certification in the US by one of these entities, programs will be considered in compliance if all the following qualifications are met. The physician faculty member:

- 1. completed residency or fellowship in the relevant specialty or subspecialty;**
- 2. held board certification (if available) in the country where they trained or practiced, if outside the US;**

3. holds full and unrestricted licensure to practice medicine in the state where the program is located;
4. has three years of experience in practice (after residency/fellowship) in the US, in the specialty or subspecialty for which they will serve as a physician faculty member;
5. meets standards of professionalism;
6. has demonstrated clinical qualifications and satisfactory patient outcomes; and,
7. fulfills at least one of the following: a) demonstrated participation in additional clinical or research training in the specialty or subspecialty; b) demonstrated scholarship in the specialty or subspecialty; c) demonstrated leadership during or after residency/fellowship.

2.11. Core Faculty

Core faculty members must have a significant role in the education and supervision of residents and must devote a significant portion of their entire effort to resident education and/or administration, and must, as a component of their activities, teach, evaluate, and provide formative feedback to residents. (Core)

Background and Intent: Core faculty members are critical to the success of resident education. They support the program leadership in developing, implementing, and assessing curriculum, mentoring residents, and assessing residents' progress toward achievement of competence in and the autonomous practice of the specialty. Core faculty members should be selected for their broad knowledge of and involvement in the program, permitting them to effectively evaluate the program. Core faculty members may also be selected for their specific expertise and unique contribution to the program. Core faculty members are engaged in a broad range of activities, which may vary across programs and specialties. Core faculty members provide clinical teaching and supervision of residents, and also participate in non-clinical activities related to resident education and program administration. Examples of these non-clinical activities include, but are not limited to, interviewing and selecting resident applicants, providing didactic instruction, mentoring residents, simulation exercises, completing the annual ACGME Faculty Survey, and participating on the program's Clinical Competency Committee, Program Evaluation Committee, and other GME committees.

2.11.a. Core faculty members must complete the annual ACGME Faculty Survey. (Core)

2.11.b. In addition to the program director and associate program director(s), programs must have the minimum number of core faculty members based on the number of approved resident positions, as follows. (Core)

Number of Approved Resident Positions	Minimum Number of Core Faculty Members
<30	3
30-39	4

Number of Approved Resident Positions	Minimum Number of Core Faculty Members
40-49	5
50-59	6
60-69	7
70-79	8
80-89	9
90-99	10
100-109	11
110-119	12
120-129	13
130-139	14
140-149	15
150-159	16
160-169	17
170-179	18
180-189	19
190-199	20
200-209	21

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 2.11.c. At a minimum, the required core faculty members, in aggregate and excluding program leadership, must be provided with support equal to an average dedicated minimum of 0.1 FTE for educational and administrative responsibilities that do not involve direct patient care. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: The Review Committee specified the minimum required number of internal medicine physician core faculty members, but did not specify how the aggregate FTE support should be distributed to allow programs, in partnership with their sponsoring institution, to allocate the support as they see fit. For instance, a program with an approved complement of 36 residents is required to have a minimum of four internal medicine physician core faculty members and a minimum aggregate FTE of 40 percent. The program could choose to operationalize this as four internal medicine physician faculty members each with 10 percent FTE support, but it could also have eight members each with five percent FTE support, or one member with twenty percent FTE and four members with five percent each.

The duties of the program director, associate program director(s), and internal medicine core faculty members are separate and distinct. As such, the minimum required internal medicine core faculty members are in addition to the program director and the associate program director(s). One individual cannot “count” as both an associate program director and internal medicine core faculty member.

The requirement related to support for core internal medicine faculty members

is intended to ensure these faculty members have sufficient protected time to meet the following educational responsibilities:

- Membership on the Clinical Competency Committee
- Participation in the annual program review as Chair or member of the Program Evaluation Committee
- Implementation and analysis of the outcome of action plans developed by the Program Evaluation Committee
- Significant participation in recruitment and selection
- Advising, mentoring, and coaching residents (co-creating, implementing, and monitoring individualized learning plans)
- Designing and overseeing remediation plans
- Supporting/overseeing residents in the development/assessment of quality improvement/patient safety projects
- Supporting/overseeing residents in the conduct of their scholarly work, including the dissemination of such work through presentations, posters/abstracts, and peer-reviewed publications
- Significant participation in educational activities (didactics, lab, or simulation)
- Overseeing faculty development for the program's faculty members
- Designing and implementing simulation and/or standardized patients for teaching and assessment
- Developing, implementing, and assessing one or more of the major components of the curriculum, such as patient safety, quality, health disparities, or core didactics
- Designing and implementing the program's assessment strategies, making certain there are robust methods used to assess each competency, and ensuring they provide meaningful information by which the Clinical Competency Committee can judge resident performance on the Milestones
- Leading the program's efforts related to resident and faculty member well-being

Each core faculty member does not need to participate in every listed educational responsibility.

Background and Intent: Provision of support for the time required for the core faculty members' responsibilities related to resident education and/or administration of the program, as well as flexibility regarding how this support is provided, are important. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, may provide support for this time in a variety of ways. Examples of support may include, but are not limited to, salary support, supplemental compensation, educational value units, or relief of time from other professional duties.

It is important to remember that the dedicated time and support requirement is a *minimum*, recognizing that, depending on the unique needs of

the program, additional support may be warranted. The need to ensure adequate resources, including adequate support and dedicated time for the core faculty members, is also addressed in Institutional Requirement 2.2.b. The amount of support and dedicated time needed for individual programs will vary based on a number of factors and may exceed the minimum specified in the applicable specialty-/ subspecialty-specific Program Requirements.

[See FAQ in Appendix]

2.11.d. Associate Program Directors
Associate program directors assist the program director in the administrative and clinical oversight of the educational program.

2.11.e. Associate program directors must:

2.11.e.1. report directly to the program director; (Core)
[See FAQ in Appendix]

2.11.e.2. participate in academic societies and in educational programs designed to enhance their educational and administrative skills; and, (Core)

2.11.e.3. take an active role in curriculum development, resident teaching and evaluation, continuous program improvement, and faculty development. (Core)

2.12. Program Coordinator
There must be a program coordinator. (Core)

2.12.a. The program coordinator must be provided with dedicated time and support adequate for administration of the program based upon its size and configuration. (Core)
[See FAQ in Appendix]

2.12.b. At a minimum, the program coordinator must be provided with the dedicated time and support specified below for administration of the program. Additional administrative support must be provided based on the program size as follows: (Core)

Number of Approved Resident Positions	Minimum FTE Required for Coordinator Support	Additional Aggregate FTE Required for Administration of the Program
<7	0.5	0
7-10	0.5	0.2
10-15	0.5	0.3
16-20	0.5	0.4
21-25	0.5	0.5
26-30	0.5	0.6
31-35	0.5	0.7
36-40	0.5	0.8

Number of Approved Resident Positions	Minimum FTE Required for Co-ordinator Support	Additional Aggregate FTE Required for Administration of the Program
41-45	0.5	0.9
46-50	0.5	1.0
51-55	0.5	1.1
56-60	0.5	1.2
61-65	0.5	1.3
66-70	0.5	1.4
71-75	0.5	1.5
76-80	0.5	1.6
81-85	0.5	1.7
86-90	0.5	1.8
91-95	0.5	1.9
96-100	0.5	2.0
101-105	0.5	2.1
106-110	0.5	2.2
111-115	0.5	2.3
116-120	0.5	2.4
121-125	0.5	2.5
126-130	0.5	2.6
131-135	0.5	2.7
136-140	0.5	2.8
141-145	0.5	2.9
146-150	0.5	3.0
151-155	0.5	3.1
156-160	0.5	3.2
161-165	0.5	3.3
166-170	0.5	3.4
171-175	0.5	3.5
176-180	0.5	3.6
181-185	0.5	3.7
186-190	0.5	3.8
191-195	0.5	3.9
196-200	0.5	4.0
201-205	0.5	4.1
206-210	0.5	4.2
211-215	0.5	4.3
216-220	0.5	4.4
221-225	0.5	4.5
226-230	0.5	4.6

Background and Intent: The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.

Each program requires a lead administrative person, frequently referred to as a program coordinator, administrator, or as otherwise titled by the

institution. This person will frequently manage the day-to-day operations of the program and serve as an important liaison and facilitator between the learners, faculty and other staff members, and the ACGME. Individuals serving in this role are recognized as program coordinators by the ACGME.

The program coordinator is a key member of the leadership team and is critical to the success of the program. As such, the program coordinator must possess skills in leadership and personnel management appropriate to the complexity of the program. Program coordinators are expected to develop in-depth knowledge of the ACGME and Program Requirements, including policies and procedures. Program coordinators assist the program director in meeting accreditation requirements, educational programming, and support of residents.

Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should encourage the professional development of their program coordinators and avail them of opportunities for both professional and personal growth. Programs with fewer residents may not require a full-time coordinator; one coordinator may support more than one program.

The minimum required dedicated time and support specified in 2.12.b. includes activities directly related to administration of the accredited program. It is understood that coordinators often have additional responsibilities, beyond those directly related to program administration, including, but not limited to, departmental administrative responsibilities, medical school clerkships, planning lectures that are not solely intended for the accredited program, and mandatory reporting for entities other than the ACGME. Assignment of these other responsibilities will necessitate consideration of allocation of additional support so as not to preclude the coordinator from devoting the time specified above solely to administrative activities that support the accredited program.

In addition, it is important to remember that the dedicated time and support requirement for ACGME activities is a minimum, recognizing that, depending on the unique needs of the program, additional support may be warranted. The need to ensure adequate resources, including adequate support and dedicated time for the program coordinator, is also addressed in Institutional Requirement 2.2.d. The amount of support and dedicated time needed for individual programs will vary based on a number of factors and may exceed the minimum specified in the applicable specialty/subspecialty-specific Program Requirements. It is expected that the Sponsoring Institution, in partnership with its accredited programs, will ensure support for program coordinators to fulfill their program responsibilities effectively.

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: For instance, a program with an approved complement of 36 residents is required to have 130 percent FTE for coordinator support. The Review Committee decided not to specify how the support should be distributed to allow programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institution, to allocate the support as they see fit.

[See FAQ in Appendix]

2.13. Other Program Personnel

The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must jointly ensure the availability of necessary personnel for the effective administration of the program. (Core)

Background and Intent: Multiple personnel may be required to effectively administer a program. These may include staff members with clerical skills, project managers, education experts, and staff members to maintain electronic communication for the program. These personnel may support more than one program in more than one discipline.

Section 3: Resident Appointments

3.1. Residents must not be required to sign a non-competition guarantee or restrictive covenant. (Core)

3.2. Eligibility Requirements

An applicant must meet one of the following qualifications to be eligible for appointment to an ACGME-accredited program: (Core)

3.2.a. graduation from a medical school in the United States, accredited by the Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME) or graduation from a college of osteopathic medicine in the United States, accredited by the American Osteopathic Association Commission on Osteopathic College Accreditation (AOACOCA); or, (Core)

3.2.b. graduation from a medical school outside of the United States, and meeting one of the following additional qualifications: (Core)

- holding a currently valid certificate from the Educational Commission for Foreign Medical Graduates (ECFMG) prior to appointment; or, (Core)**
- holding a full and unrestricted license to practice medicine in the United States licensing jurisdiction in which the ACGME-accredited program is located. (Core)**

3.3. All prerequisite post-graduate clinical education required for initial entry or transfer into ACGME-accredited residency programs must be completed in ACGME-ac-

credited residency programs, AOA-approved residency programs, Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada (RCPSC)-accredited or College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC)-accredited residency programs located in Canada, or in residency programs with ACGME International (ACGME-I) Advanced Specialty Accreditation. (Core)

- 3.3.a. Residency programs must receive verification of each resident's level of competency in the required clinical field using ACGME, CanMEDS, or ACGME-I Milestones evaluations from the prior training program upon matriculation. (Core)

Background and Intent: Programs with ACGME-I Foundational Accreditation or from institutions with ACGME-I accreditation do not qualify unless the program has also achieved ACGME-I Advanced Specialty Accreditation. To ensure entrants into ACGME-accredited programs from ACGME-I programs have attained the prerequisite milestones for this training, they must be from programs that have ACGME-I Advanced Specialty Accreditation.

3.4. Resident Complement

The program director must not appoint more residents than approved by the Review Committee. (Core)

Background and Intent: Programs are required to request approval of all complement changes, whether temporary or permanent, by the Review Committee through ADS. Permanent increases require prior approval from the Review Committee and temporary increases may also require approval. Specialty-specific instructions for requesting a complement increase are found in the "Documents and Resources" page of the applicable specialty section of the ACGME website.

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 3.4.a. There must be a sufficient number of residents to allow peer-to-peer interaction and learning. (Core)
- 3.4.b. The program should offer a minimum of nine positions. (Detail)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: The Review Committee believes that peer-to-peer interactions and learning are extremely important components of residency education and has set the minimum number of residents to nine. While three residents per educational year is suggested, it is not required as long as there is relative balance per level. To ensure that resident education is not compromised by having too few residents, the number of residents in a

program will be monitored at each review, particularly for those programs with significant decreases in complement. However, this requirement is categorized as a “detail” as there may be programs that have specific circumstances that allow them to function with a smaller resident complement. This categorization allows the establishment of residency education programs in rural and medically underserved areas and populations when the Review Committee determines that the program has sufficient resources to ensure substantial compliance with accreditation requirements.

3.5. Resident Transfers

The program must obtain verification of previous educational experiences and a summative competency-based performance evaluation prior to acceptance of a transferring resident. (Core)

3.6. Prior to accepting a transfer resident, the program must obtain from the resident, and retain written confirmation that the resident understands the impact of the transfer on their eligibility for their intended specialty board's initial certification. (Core)

Section 4: Educational Program

The ACGME accreditation system is designed to encourage excellence and innovation in graduate medical education regardless of the organizational affiliation, size, or location of the program.

The educational program must support the development of knowledgeable, skillful physicians who provide compassionate care.

It is recognized that programs may place different emphasis on research, leadership, public health, etc. It is expected that the program aims will reflect the nuanced program-specific goals for it and its graduates; for example, it is expected that a program aiming to prepare physician-scientists will have a different curriculum from one focusing on community health.

4.1. Length of Program

An accredited residency program in internal medicine must provide 36 months of supervised graduate medical education. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: While internal medicine residency must be completed within a 36-month supervised educational framework (barring remediation and extended leaves), the requirements were written to be flexible and allow program directors the opportunity to create more individualized educational experiences for residents who have achieved, or are on a trajectory to achieve, competence in the foundational areas of internal medicine. This was

a guiding principle for the revision process. The requirements for the foundational areas of internal medicine and individualized educational experiences are located in Section 4.11.: Curriculum Organization and Resident Experiences.

4.2. Educational Components

The curriculum must contain the following educational components:

- 4.2.a. a set of program aims consistent with the Sponsoring Institution's mission, the needs of the community it serves, and the desired distinctive capabilities of its graduates, which must be made available to program applicants, residents, and faculty members; (Core)**

[Pending the outcome of the major revision of the Common Program Requirements, enforcement of Common Program Requirement 4.2.a. has been suspended]

- 4.2.b. competency-based goals and objectives for each educational experience designed to promote progress on a trajectory to autonomous practice. These must be distributed, reviewed, and available to residents and faculty members; (Core)**

Background and Intent: The trajectory to autonomous practice is documented by Milestones evaluations. Milestones are considered formative and should be used to identify learning needs. Milestones data may lead to focused or general curricular revision in any given program or to individualized learning plans for any specific resident.

- 4.2.c. delineation of resident responsibilities for patient care, progressive responsibility for patient management, and graded supervision; (Core)**

Background and Intent: These responsibilities may generally be described by PGY level and specifically by Milestones progress as determined by the Clinical Competency Committee. This approach encourages the transition to competency-based education. An advanced learner may be granted more responsibility independent of PGY level and a learner needing more time to accomplish a certain task may do so in a focused rather than global manner.

- 4.2.d. a broad range of structured didactic activities; and, (Core)**

Background and Intent: It is intended that residents will participate in structured didactic activities. It is recognized that there may be circumstances in which this is not possible. Programs should define core didactic activities for which time is protected and the circumstances in which residents may be excused from these didactic activities. Didactic activi-

ties may include, but are not limited to, lectures, conferences, courses, labs, asynchronous learning, simulations, drills, case discussions, grand rounds, didactic teaching, and education in critical appraisal of medical evidence.

- 4.2.e. formal educational activities that promote patient safety-related goals, tools, and techniques. (Core)

[Pending the outcome of the major revision of the Common Program Requirements, enforcement of Common Program Requirement 4.2.e. has been suspended]

ACGME Competencies

The Competencies provide a conceptual framework describing the required domains for a trusted physician to enter autonomous practice. These Competencies are core to the practice of all physicians, although the specifics are further defined by each specialty. The developmental trajectories in each of the Competencies are articulated through the Milestones for each specialty.

The program must integrate all ACGME Competencies into the curriculum.

4.3. ACGME Competencies – Professionalism

Residents must demonstrate a commitment to professionalism and an adherence to ethical principles. (Core)

Residents must demonstrate competence in:

- 4.3.a. compassion, integrity, and respect for others; (Core)
- 4.3.b. responsiveness to patient needs that supersedes self-interest; (Core)
- 4.3.c. cultural awareness; (Core)
- 4.3.d. respect for patient privacy and autonomy; (Core)
- 4.3.e. accountability to patients, society, and the profession; (Core)
- 4.3.f. respect and responsiveness to heterogeneous patient populations, including but not limited to gender, age, culture, race, religion, disabilities, national origin, socioeconomic status, and sexual orientation; (Core)
- 4.3.g. ability to recognize and develop a plan for one's own personal and professional well-being; and, (Core)
- 4.3.h. appropriately disclosing and addressing conflict or duality of interest. (Core)

Background and Intent: This includes the recognition that under certain circumstances, the interests of the patient may be best served by transi-

tioning care to another practitioner. Examples include fatigue, conflict or duality of interest, not connecting well with a patient, or when another physician would be better for the situation based on skill set or knowledge base.

4.4. ACGME Competencies – Patient Care and Procedural Skills (Part A)

Residents must be able to provide patient care that is patient- and family-centered, compassionate, appropriate, and effective for the treatment of health problems and the promotion of health. (Core)

Background and Intent: Quality patient care is safe, effective, timely, efficient, patient-centered, fair, and designed to improve population health, while reducing per capita costs. In addition, there should be a focus on improving the clinician’s well-being as a means to improve patient care and reduce burnout among residents, fellows, and practicing physicians.

4.4.a. Residents must demonstrate the ability to manage the care of patients:

4.4.a.1. using clinical skills of interviewing and physical examination; (Core)

4.4.a.2. in a variety of roles within a health system with progressive responsibility, including serving as the direct provider, a member, or leader of an interprofessional team of providers; as a consultant to other physicians; and as a teacher to the patient, the patient’s family, and other health care workers; (Core)

4.4.a.3. including the prevention, counseling, detection, diagnosis, and treatment of adult diseases; (Core)

4.4.a.4. in a variety of health care settings, including the inpatient ward, critical care units, and various ambulatory settings; (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: Emerging models of care and needs of populations served by programs will result in residents having educational experiences in novel or non-traditional settings. Examples of non-traditional educational settings include rotations on mobile buses that travel to areas of increased need, and “pop-up” health clinics within community centers.

4.4.a.5. for whom they have limited or no physical contact, through the use of telemedicine; (Core)

4.4.a.6. in the subspecialties of internal medicine; (Core)

4.4.a.7. using population-based data; (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: Understanding population health within the context of prevention is an important competency for the physician

practicing medicine in the future. Residents need experience using, understanding, and analyzing population health data so that they can develop health care plans to improve health outcomes for their patients. For instance, residents may be provided experience in analyzing and interpreting data from health registries, and understanding the local impact of infectious and non-infectious epidemics (e.g., obesity or opioid) and pandemics, and the important role social determinants of health have when developing and applying health care and preventive care decisions.

4.4.a.8. using critical thinking and evidence-based tools. (Core)

4.4.b. Residents must demonstrate competence in the identification and treatment of nutrient deficiencies. (Core)

4.5. ACGME Competencies – Patient Care and Procedural Skills (Part B)
Residents must be able to perform all medical, diagnostic, and surgical procedures considered essential for the area of practice. (Core)

4.5.a. Residents must demonstrate the ability to:

4.5.a.1. use and/or perform point-of-care laboratory, diagnostic, and/or imaging studies relevant to the care of the patient; (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: The Review Committee intentionally did not identify specific laboratory, diagnostic, and/or imaging studies that residents must perform because it believes that scientific advances will be constant and ongoing, and whatever is codified in the requirements quickly becomes outdated. Additionally, the decision to not specifically denote studies in the requirements aligns with the Committee's overall position that residents should perform and develop expertise with those procedures appropriate to their future practice needs, as noted in the requirement below. However, the Committee acknowledges that offering point-of-care ultrasonography to residents who believe this will be relevant for their future career practice may be one way to meet the above-mentioned requirement.

4.5.a.2. perform diagnostic and therapeutic procedures relevant to their specific career paths; and (Core)

4.5.a.3. treat their patients' conditions with practices that are patient-centered, safe, scientifically based, effective, timely, and cost-effective. (Core)

4.6. ACGME Competencies – Medical Knowledge
Residents must demonstrate knowledge of established and evolving biomedical, clinical, epidemiological, and social-behavioral sciences, including scientific inquiry, as well as the application of this knowledge to patient care. (Core)

- 4.6.a. Residents must demonstrate a level of expertise in the knowledge of the broad spectrum of clinical disorders seen by an internist, including: (Core)
 - 4.6.a.1. the core content of general internal medicine, which includes the internal medicine subspecialties, the multidisciplinary subspecialties of geriatric medicine, hospice and palliative medicine and addiction medicine, and neurology. (Core)
- 4.6.b. Residents must demonstrate sufficient knowledge in the following areas:
 - 4.6.b.1. evaluation of patients with an undiagnosed and undifferentiated presentation; (Core)
 - 4.6.b.2. pharmacotherapeutic and non-pharmacotherapeutic treatment of the broad spectrum of medical conditions and clinical disorders managed by internists; (Core)
 - 4.6.b.3. provision of preventive care; (Core)
 - 4.6.b.4. interpretation of clinical tests and images; (Core)
 - 4.6.b.5. recognition and initial management of urgent medical problems; and, (Core)
 - 4.6.b.6. application of technology appropriate for the clinical context, including evolving techniques. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: Advances in technology will likely continue to make substantive changes in patient diagnosis and management. This requirement ensures that residents will be able to gain experience and become familiar with emerging technologies, such as intensive care units managed remotely or the use of personalized or precision medicine.

- 4.7. **ACGME Competencies – Practice-Based Learning and Improvement**
Residents must demonstrate the ability to investigate and evaluate their care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning. (Core)
 - 4.7.a. Residents must demonstrate competence in identifying strengths, deficiencies, and limits in one's knowledge and expertise. (Core)
 - 4.7.b. Residents must demonstrate competence in setting learning and improvement goals. (Core)
 - 4.7.c. Residents must demonstrate competence in identifying and performing appropriate learning activities. (Core)
 - 4.7.d. Residents must demonstrate competence in systematically analyzing practice using quality improvement methods, including activities aimed at reducing

- health care disparities, and implementing changes with the goal of practice improvement. (Core)
- 4.7.e. Residents must demonstrate competence in incorporating feedback and formative evaluation into daily practice. (Core)
- 4.7.f. Residents must demonstrate competence in locating, appraising, and assimilating evidence from scientific studies related to their patients' health problems. (Core)
- 4.8. **ACGME Competencies – Interpersonal and Communication Skills**
Residents must demonstrate interpersonal and communication skills that result in the effective exchange of information and collaboration with patients, their families, and health professionals. (Core)
- 4.8.a. Residents must demonstrate competence in communicating effectively with patients and patients' families, as appropriate, across a broad range of socioeconomic circumstances, cultural backgrounds, and language capabilities, learning to engage interpretive services as required to provide appropriate care to each patient. (Core)
- 4.8.b. Residents must demonstrate competence in communicating effectively with physicians, other health professionals, and health-related agencies. (Core)
- 4.8.c. Residents must demonstrate competence in working effectively as a member or leader of a health care team or other professional group. (Core)
- 4.8.d. Residents must demonstrate competence in educating patients, patients' families, students, other residents, and other health professionals. (Core)
- 4.8.e. Residents must demonstrate competence in acting in a consultative role to other physicians and health professionals. (Core)
- 4.8.f. Residents must demonstrate competence in maintaining comprehensive, timely, and legible health care records, if applicable. (Core)
- 4.8.g. Residents must learn to communicate with patients and patients' families to partner with them to assess their care goals, including, when appropriate, end-of-life goals. (Core)
- 4.9. **ACGME Competencies – Systems-Based Practice**
Residents must demonstrate an awareness of and responsiveness to the larger context and system of health care, including the social determinants of health, as well as the ability to call effectively on other resources to provide optimal health care. (Core)

Background and Intent: Medical practice occurs in the context of an increasingly complex clinical care environment where optimal patient care

requires attention to compliance with external and internal administrative and regulatory requirements.

- 4.9.a. Residents must demonstrate competence in working effectively in various health care delivery settings and systems relevant to their clinical specialty. (Core)**
- 4.9.b. Residents must demonstrate competence in coordinating patient care across the health care continuum and beyond as relevant to their clinical specialty. (Core)**

Background and Intent: Every patient deserves to be treated as a whole person. Therefore it is recognized that any one component of the health care system does not meet the totality of the patient's needs. An appropriate transition plan requires coordination and forethought by an interdisciplinary team. The patient benefits from proper care and the system benefits from proper use of resources.

- 4.9.c. Residents must demonstrate competence in advocating for quality patient care and optimal patient care systems. (Core)**
- 4.9.d. Residents must demonstrate competence in participating in identifying system errors and implementing potential systems solutions. (Core)**
- 4.9.e. Residents must demonstrate competence in incorporating considerations of value, cost awareness, delivery and payment, and risk-benefit analysis in patient and/or population-based care as appropriate. (Core)**
- 4.9.f. Residents must demonstrate competence in understanding health care finances and its impact on individual patients' health decisions. (Core)**
- 4.9.g. Residents must demonstrate competence in using tools and techniques that promote patient safety and disclosure of patient safety events (real or simulated). (Detail)**
- 4.9.h. Residents must learn to advocate for patients within the health care system to achieve the patient's and patient's family's care goals, including, when appropriate, end-of-life goals. (Core)**

Curriculum Organization and Resident Experiences

4.10. Curriculum Structure

The curriculum must be structured to optimize resident educational experiences, the length of the experiences, and the supervisory continuity. These educational experiences include an appropriate blend of supervised patient care responsibilities, clinical teaching, and didactic educational events. (Core)

Background and Intent: In some specialties, frequent rotational transitions, inadequate continuity of faculty member supervision, and dispersed patient locations within the hospital have adversely affected optimal resident education and effective team-based care. The need for patient care continuity varies from specialty to specialty and by clinical situation, and may be addressed by the individual Review Committee.

- 4.10.a. Rotations must be of sufficient length to provide longitudinal relationships with faculty members to allow for meaningful assessment and feedback. (Core)
- 4.10.b. Rotations must be structured to allow residents to function as part of effective inter-professional teams that work together towards the shared goals of patient safety and quality improvement. (Core)
- 4.10.c. Rotations must be structured to minimize conflicting inpatient and outpatient responsibilities. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: The Review Committee encourages programs to think of ways to balance the inherent conflicts between inpatient and outpatient responsibilities, including using an effective hand-off process. For example, programs may want to consider schedules that allow members of the interprofessional health care team to provide coverage for the inpatient service when residents are in continuity clinics. Alternatively, programs may consider creating schedules that either provide more continuity clinic experiences or an exclusive continuity clinic experience when residents are not on inpatient rotations to allow them to have less or no clinic during inpatient rotations.

4.11. Didactic and Clinical Experiences

Residents must be provided with protected time to participate in core didactic activities. (Core)

- 4.11.a. The educational program for all residents must include at least 30 months of clinical experiences. (Core)
- 4.11.b. The educational program for all residents must include a longitudinal team-based continuity experience for the duration of the program. (Core)
- 4.11.c. The educational program for all residents must include foundational experience in internal medicine, including:
 - 4.11.c.1. at least 10 months of clinical experiences in the outpatient setting; (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: Clinical experiences in the following settings may be used to fulfill this requirement: general internal medicine continuity clinics; internal medicine subspecialty clinics (e.g., HIV clinic); non-medicine clinics (e.g., dermatology or physical medicine and rehabilitation clinic);

walk-in clinics; neighborhood health clinics; home care visit programs; urgent care clinics; and ambulatory block rotations.

Time devoted to the longitudinal continuity experience can count towards the minimum required 10 months of foundational experiences in the outpatient setting. For the purposes of this calculation, a month is equivalent to four weeks, 20 days, or 40 half-days. For example, 40 half-day continuity clinic sessions would equal one month of outpatient experience.

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]

4.11.c.2. at least 10 months of clinical experiences in the inpatient and critical care settings; (Core)

4.11.c.2.a. Critical care experiences must be a minimum of two months and a maximum of six months and must not occur solely in the PGY-1. (Core)

4.11.c.3. clinical experiences in each of the internal medicine subspecialties; and, (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: Clinical experiences in the each of the subspecialties can be used to fulfill either the minimum required number of months in the inpatient or outpatient setting, depending on the setting the experience is provided. For instance, a month rotation on a hematology-oncology service would count towards meeting the inpatient minimums whereas a month in an oncology clinic would count towards outpatient.

[See FAQ in Appendix]

4.11.c.4. clinical experiences in geriatric medicine, hospice and palliative medicine, addiction medicine, emergency medicine, and neurology. (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix]

4.11.d. The educational program for all residents must include at least six months of individualized educational experiences to participate in opportunities relevant to their future practice or to further skill/competency development in the foundational areas. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: The Review Committee views these four components of internal medicine residency (at least 30 months of clinical experience, longitudinal continuity experience, foundational internal medicine experience, and at least six months of individualized experience) as distinct but overlapping. For example, the longitudinal continuity experience could be obtained through discrete blocks or interspersed among other clinical experiences. Time in an outpatient clinic may be part of the continuity experience or may be part of a subspecialty experience, or both, and it would count towards the minimum for both foundational outpatient experience and the 30 months of clinical experience. Additional time in that clinic may be part of a resident's individualized learning experiences, which

would also count towards the 30-month minimum. The six months of individualized learning experiences may be all clinical experiences that would count towards the 30-month minimum, or they may include non-clinical experiences.

The requirements acknowledge that in addition to providing residents with broad foundational educational experiences in ambulatory and hospital-based internal medicine, programs must ensure residents have educational experiences that take into account their future plans and the different paces and trajectories at and on which residents will learn and demonstrate competence in the foundational areas.

Individualized educational experiences will be determined by the program director and take into account demonstrated competence in the foundational areas noted above, resources, program aims, and the residents' future practice plans. Although six months can be devoted to individualized experiences, some residents may require more time to achieve competence in the foundational educational areas, which may result in less time for individualized educational experiences. Some residents may need to devote the entirety of residency to achieve competence in the foundational areas. The converse may be possible. Programs may have the opportunity to allocate more than six months of individualized educational opportunities for residents who have achieved or are on target to achieve competence in the foundational areas. These opportunities may include more ambulatory/outpatient experiences for residents interested in practicing in an outpatient setting after residency, more inpatient experiences for those interested in hospitalist medicine careers, or more experiences in a subspecialty for those interested in subspecializing. Individualized educational experiences may be integrated throughout the 36 months of the educational program and do not need to be consecutive.

The Review Committee is interested in programs pursuing innovations in internal medicine training. Additional information on the development of the Program Requirements and the Review Committee's interest in exploring innovative proposals that will guide future versions of the Program Requirements can be found on the [Internal Medicine section of the ACGME website](#).

4.11.e. While on inpatient rotations:

4.11.e.1. residents' responsibilities must be limited to patients for whom the teaching team has diagnostic and therapeutic responsibility; (Core)
[See FAQ in Appendix]

4.11.e.2. programs must monitor and limit the number of resident-attending relationships to ensure that communication and education is not compromised; (Core)

- 4.11.e.3. non-physician faculty members must not supervise internal medicine residents on inpatient rotations; (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: While it is important for residents to acquire experience in leading and participating in interprofessional, interdisciplinary health care teams, the overall supervision of all clinical care provided by residents is the responsibility of the members of the physician faculty. A physician faculty member may delegate an appropriately qualified non-physician to assist a resident in discrete activities, such as performing procedures.

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 4.11.e.4. residents from other specialties must not supervise internal medicine residents on any internal medicine inpatient rotation; (Core)

- 4.11.e.5. the resident team and each attending physician must have the responsibility to make management rounds on their patients and communicate effectively with each other at a frequency appropriate to the changing care needs of the patients; (Core)

- 4.11.e.6. residents must write all orders for patients under their care, with appropriate supervision by the attending physician; (Core)

- 4.11.e.6.a. In those circumstances when another attending physician or consultant writes an order on a resident's patient, the attending or consultant must communicate the action to the resident in a timely manner. (Core)

- 4.11.e.7. PGY-1 residents must not be assigned more than five new patients per admitting day; (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]

- 4.11.e.7.a. an additional two patients may be assigned if they are in-house transfers from the medical services. (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]

- 4.11.e.8. PGY-1 residents must not be assigned more than eight new patients in a 48-hour period; (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]

- 4.11.e.9. PGY-1 residents must not be responsible for the ongoing care of more than 10 patients; (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]

- 4.11.e.10. when supervising more than one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the supervision or admission of more than 10 new patients and four transfer patients per admitting day or more than 16 new patients in a 48-hour period; (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]

- 4.11.e.11. when supervising one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the ongoing care of more than 14 patients; and, (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]

- 4.11.e.12. when supervising more than one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the ongoing care of more than 20 patients. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: The Review Committee cannot prescriptively and explicitly assign patient census limits for every possible educational scenario or circumstance given the variability in these settings and the complexity and acuity of the patients. Instead, the committee asks program and institutional leadership teams to proactively and regularly monitor the census, complexity, and acuity of patients assigned to resident-comprised health care teams, and the structure and composition of the team, particularly the knowledge, skills, and abilities of the team members, to determine the appropriate patient team size for the situation. Although the Review Committee limits the number of new patients PGY-2 and PGY-3 residents can be assigned per admitting day (Program Requirements 4.11.e.10-12., programs can exercise flexibility and deviate from these limits for PGY-3 residents who have significant experience in the inpatient setting and are interested in hospitalist medicine careers in the future. The leadership team will need to carefully review institutional patient safety outcome data when determining patient census team limits in such scenarios. The census limits noted above apply to all inpatient experiences during the 36 months of supervised graduate medical education regardless of whether an inpatient rotation is part of the foundational educational experiences in internal medicine or part of the individualized experiences.

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]

- 4.11.f. While on outpatient rotations:

- 4.11.f.1. residents must have clinical experiences in chronic disease management, preventive health, patient counseling, and common acute ambulatory problems; and, (Core)

- 4.11.f.2. residents must have a longitudinal, team-based, continuity experience for the duration of the educational program through which they develop a long-term therapeutic relationship with a panel of patients. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: The Review Committee believes that residents can only achieve a long-term therapeutic relationship with a panel of patients if the continuity clinic experience takes place for the entirety of the educational program. This will allow patients to understand that the resident is “their” primary care doctor, and residents to see the continuity clinic patients as “their” patients. While new patients will be added to the panel (and others will

leave) throughout the course of the program, the Review Committee suggests that residents will remain in the same clinic throughout the 36 months to maintain continuity of care for their patient panel.

The committee believes this requirement can be best met through assigning residents to a general internal medicine clinic. However, to allow for residents to pursue post-residency interests during residency, programs may assign residents to subspecialty or specialized continuity clinics (e.g., an HIV clinic) if these assignments achieve the desired outcome noted in the requirement: that residents develop a long-term therapeutic relationship with a panel of patients.

- 4.11.f.2.a. Residents must serve as the primary physician for a panel of patients, with responsibility for chronic disease management, management of acute health problems, and preventive health care for their patients. (Core)
- 4.11.f.2.b. Residents must participate in the coordination of care of patients across health care settings and between outpatient visits. (Core)
- 4.11.f.2.c. Residents must be supervised and taught by faculty members with whom they have developed a longitudinal relationship. (Core)
- 4.11.f.2.d. Faculty members must maintain a ratio of residents or other learners to faculty preceptors not to exceed four to one; (Detail)
- 4.11.f.2.d.1. Faculty members must not have other patient care responsibilities while supervising more than two residents or other learners. (Detail)
- 4.11.g. Required Didactic Experiences
The educational program must include didactic instruction based upon the core knowledge content of internal medicine. (Core)
- 4.11.g.1. Residents must participate in a variety of teaching conferences or didactic sessions, including those dedicated to quality improvement. (Core)
- 4.11.g.2. The program must ensure that residents have the opportunity to review all knowledge content from conferences they could not attend. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: Core knowledge content presented during conferences will need to be made available for residents who missed the conference due to clinical responsibilities. This can include repeating the conference, recording and making it available electronically, or making the content provided during the conference available electronically.

- 4.11.g.3. Residents' educational experience must include didactic sessions in which residents interact with other residents and faculty members. (Core)

- 4.11.g.3.a. The frequency of these sessions must be sufficient for peer-to-peer and peer-to-faculty member interaction. (Core)
- 4.11.g.4. Residents must be provided a patient or case-based approach to clinical teaching:
 - 4.11.g.4.a. on all inpatient, outpatient, telemedicine, and consultative services; (Core)
 - 4.11.g.4.b. with a frequency and duration sufficient to ensure a meaningful and continuous teaching relationship between the assigned teaching faculty member and the resident; and, (Core)
 - 4.11.g.4.c. that includes interactions between resident and the teaching faculty member, bedside teaching, discussion of pathophysiology, and the application of current evidence in diagnostic and therapeutic decisions. (Core)

4.12. Pain Management

The program must provide instruction and experience in pain management if applicable for the specialty, including recognition of the signs of substance use disorder. (Core)

Scholarship

Medicine is both an art and a science. The physician is a humanistic scientist who cares for patients. This requires the ability to think critically, evaluate the literature, appropriately assimilate new knowledge, and practice lifelong learning. The program and faculty must create an environment that fosters the acquisition of such skills through resident participation in scholarly activities. Scholarly activities may include discovery, integration, application, and teaching.

The ACGME recognizes the variety of residencies and anticipates that programs prepare physicians for a variety of roles, including clinicians, scientists, and educators. It is expected that the program's scholarship will reflect its mission(s) and aims, and the needs of the community it serves. For example, some programs may concentrate their scholarly activity on quality improvement, population health, and/or teaching, while other programs might choose to utilize more classic forms of biomedical research as the focus for scholarship.

4.13. Program Responsibilities

The program must demonstrate evidence of scholarly activities consistent with its mission(s) and aims. (Core)

- 4.13.a. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must allocate adequate resources to facilitate resident and faculty involvement in scholarly activities. (Core)
- 4.13.b. The program must advance residents' knowledge and practice of the scholarly approach to evidence-based patient care. (Core)

4.14. Faculty Scholarly Activity

Among their scholarly activity, programs must demonstrate accomplishments in at least three of the following domains: (Core)

- **Research in basic science, education, translational science, patient care, or population health**
- **Peer-reviewed grants**
- **Quality improvement and/or patient safety initiatives**
- **Systematic reviews, meta-analyses, review articles, chapters in medical textbooks, or case reports**
- **Creation of curricula, evaluation tools, didactic educational activities, or electronic educational materials**
- **Contribution to professional committees, educational organizations, or editorial boards**
- **Innovations in education**

4.14.a. The program must demonstrate dissemination of scholarly activity within and external to the program by the following methods:

- **faculty participation in grand rounds, posters, workshops, quality improvement presentations, podium presentations, grant leadership, non-peer-reviewed print/electronic resources, articles or publications, book chapters, textbooks, webinars, service on professional committees, or serving as a journal reviewer, journal editorial board member, or editor. (Outcome)**

Background and Intent: For the purposes of education, metrics of scholarly activity represent one of the surrogates for the program's effectiveness in the creation of an environment of inquiry that advances the residents' scholarly approach to patient care. The Review Committee will evaluate the dissemination of scholarship for the program as a whole, not for individual faculty members, for a five-year interval, for both core and non-core faculty members, with the goal of assessing the effectiveness of the creation of such an environment. The ACGME recognizes that there may be differences in scholarship requirements between different specialties and between residencies and fellowships in the same specialty.

4.15. Resident Scholarly Activity
Residents must participate in scholarship. (Core)

4.15.a. A program's graduates must demonstrate dissemination of scholarship within or external to the program by any of the following methods: (Core)

4.15.a.1. presenting in grand rounds, poster sessions, leading conference presentations (journal club, morbidity and mortality, case conferences); workshops; quality improvement presentations; podium presentations; grant leadership; non-peer-reviewed print/electronic resources; articles or publications; book chapters; textbooks; webinars; service on professional committees; or serving as a jour-

Section 5: Evaluation

5.1. Resident Evaluation: Feedback and Evaluation

Faculty members must directly observe, evaluate, and frequently provide feedback on resident performance during each rotation or similar educational assignment. (Core)

Background and Intent: Feedback is ongoing information provided regarding aspects of one's performance, knowledge, or understanding. The faculty empower residents to provide much of that feedback themselves in a spirit of continuous learning and self-reflection. Feedback from faculty members in the context of routine clinical care should be frequent, and need not always be formally documented.

Formative and summative evaluation have distinct definitions. Formative evaluation is *monitoring resident learning* and providing ongoing feedback that can be used by residents to improve their learning in the context of provision of patient care or other educational opportunities. More specifically, formative evaluations help:

- residents identify their strengths and weaknesses and target areas that need work
- program directors and faculty members recognize where residents are struggling and address problems immediately

Summative evaluation is *evaluating a resident's learning* by comparing the residents against the goals and objectives of the rotation and program, respectively. Summative evaluation is utilized to make decisions about promotion to the next level of training, or program completion.

End-of-rotation and end-of-year evaluations have both summative and formative components. Information from a summative evaluation can be used formatively when residents or faculty members use it to guide their efforts and activities in subsequent rotations and to successfully complete the residency program.

Feedback, formative evaluation, and summative evaluation compare intentions with accomplishments, enabling the transformation of a neophyte physician to one with growing expertise.

Background and Intent: Faculty members should provide feedback frequently throughout the course of each rotation. Residents require feed-

back from faculty members to reinforce well-performed duties and tasks, as well as to correct deficiencies. This feedback will allow for the development of the learner as they strive to achieve the Milestones. More frequent feedback is strongly encouraged for residents who have deficiencies that may result in a poor final rotation evaluation.

- 5.1.a. Evaluation must be documented at the completion of the assignment. (Core)
- 5.1.a.1. For block rotations of greater than three months in duration, evaluation must be documented at least every three months. (Core)
- 5.1.a.2. Longitudinal experiences, such as continuity clinic in the context of other clinical responsibilities, must be evaluated at least every three months and at completion. (Core)
- 5.1.b. The program must provide an objective performance evaluation based on the Competencies and the specialty-specific Milestones. (Core)
 - 5.1.b.1. The program must use multiple evaluators (e.g., faculty members, peers, patients, self, and other professional staff members). (Core)
 - 5.1.b.2. The program must provide that information to the Clinical Competency Committee for its synthesis of progressive resident performance and improvement toward unsupervised practice. (Core)
- 5.1.c. The program director or their designee, with input from the Clinical Competency Committee, must meet with and review with each resident their documented semi-annual evaluation of performance, including progress along the specialty-specific Milestones. (Core)
- 5.1.d. The program director or their designee, with input from the Clinical Competency Committee, must assist residents in developing individualized learning plans to capitalize on their strengths and identify areas for growth. (Core)
- 5.1.e. The program director or their designee, with input from the Clinical Competency Committee, must develop plans for residents failing to progress, following institutional policies and procedures. (Core)

Background and Intent: Learning is an active process that requires effort from the teacher and the learner. Faculty members evaluate a resident's performance at least at the end of each rotation. The program director or their designee will review those evaluations, including their progress on the Milestones, at a minimum of every six months. Residents should be encouraged to reflect upon the evaluation, using the information to reinforce well-performed tasks or knowledge or to modify deficiencies in knowledge or practice. Working together with the faculty members, residents should develop an individualized learning plan.

Residents who are experiencing difficulties with achieving progress along the Milestones may require intervention to address specific deficiencies. Such intervention, documented in an individual remediation plan developed by the program director or a faculty mentor and the resident, will take a variety of forms based on the specific learning needs of the resident. However, the ACGME recognizes that there are situations which require more significant intervention that may alter the time course of resident progression. To ensure due process, it is essential that the program director follow institutional policies and procedures.

- 5.1.f. At least annually, there must be a summative evaluation of each resident that includes their readiness to progress to the next year of the program, if applicable. (Core)
- 5.1.g. The evaluations of a resident's performance must be accessible for review by the resident. (Core)
- 5.1.h. The program must assess residents' skills in data gathering and analysis, physical examination, clinical reasoning, patient management, and procedures in all clinical settings. (Core)
- 5.2. **Resident Evaluation: Final Evaluation**
The program director must provide a final evaluation for each resident upon completion of the program. (Core)
 - 5.2.a. The specialty-specific Milestones, and when applicable the specialty-specific Case Logs, must be used as tools to ensure residents are able to engage in autonomous practice upon completion of the program. (Core)
 - 5.2.b. The final evaluation must become part of the resident's permanent record maintained by the institution, and must be accessible for review by the resident in accordance with institutional policy. (Core)
 - 5.2.c. The final evaluation must verify that the resident has demonstrated the knowledge, skills, and behaviors necessary to enter autonomous practice. (Core)
 - 5.2.d. The final evaluation must be shared with the resident upon completion of the program. (Core)
- 5.3. **Clinical Competency Committee**
A Clinical Competency Committee must be appointed by the program director. (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]

 - 5.3.a. At a minimum, the Clinical Competency Committee must include three members of the program faculty, at least one of whom is a core faculty member. (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]
 - 5.3.b. Additional members must be faculty members from the same program or

other programs, or other health professionals who have extensive contact and experience with the program's residents. (Core)

Background and Intent: The requirements regarding the Clinical Competency Committee do not preclude or limit a program director's participation on the Clinical Competency Committee. The intent is to leave flexibility for each program to decide the best structure for its own circumstances, but a program should consider: its program director's other roles as resident advocate, advisor, and confidante; the impact of the program director's presence on the other Clinical Competency Committee members' discussions and decisions; the size of the program faculty; and other program-relevant factors. The program director has final responsibility for resident evaluation and promotion decisions.

Program faculty may include more than the physician faculty members, such as other physicians and non-physicians who teach and evaluate the program's residents. There may be additional members of the Clinical Competency Committee. Chief residents who have completed core residency programs in their specialty may be members of the Clinical Competency Committee.

- 5.3.c. The Clinical Competency Committee must review all resident evaluations at least semi-annually. (Core)
- 5.3.d. The Clinical Competency Committee must determine each resident's progress on achievement of the specialty-specific Milestones. (Core)
- 5.3.e. The Clinical Competency Committee must meet prior to the residents' semi-annual evaluations and advise the program director regarding each resident's progress. (Core)
- 5.4. **Faculty Evaluation**
The program must have a process to evaluate each faculty member's performance as it relates to the educational program at least annually. (Core)

Background and Intent: The program director is responsible for the educational program and all educators. While the term "faculty" may be applied to physicians within a given institution for other reasons, it is applied to residency program faculty members only through approval by a program director. The development of the faculty improves the education, clinical, and research aspects of a program. Faculty members have a strong commitment to the resident and desire to provide optimal education and work opportunities. Faculty members must be provided feedback on their contribution to the mission of the program. All faculty members who interact with residents desire feedback on their education, clinical care, and

research. If a faculty member does not interact with residents, feedback is not required. With regard to the varied operating environments and configurations, the residency program director may need to work with others to determine the effectiveness of the program's faculty performance with regard to their role in the educational program. All teaching faculty members should have their educational efforts evaluated by the residents in a confidential and anonymous manner. Other aspects for the feedback may include research or clinical productivity, review of patient outcomes, or peer review of scholarly activity. The process should reflect the local environment and identify the necessary information. The feedback from the various sources should be summarized and provided to the faculty on an annual basis by a member of the leadership team of the program.

- 5.4.a. This evaluation must include a review of the faculty member's clinical teaching abilities, engagement with the educational program, participation in faculty development related to their skills as an educator, clinical performance, professionalism, and scholarly activities. (Core)
- 5.4.b. This evaluation must include written, anonymous, and confidential evaluations by the residents. (Core)
- 5.4.c. Faculty members must receive feedback on their evaluations at least annually. (Core)
- 5.4.d. Results of the faculty educational evaluations should be incorporated into program-wide faculty development plans. (Core)

Background and Intent: The quality of the faculty's teaching and clinical care is a determinant of the quality of the program and the quality of the residents' future clinical care. Therefore, the program has the responsibility to evaluate and improve the program faculty members' teaching, scholarship, professionalism, and quality care. This section mandates annual review of the program's faculty members for this purpose, and can be used as input into the Annual Program Evaluation.

- 5.5. **Program Evaluation and Improvement**
The program director must appoint the Program Evaluation Committee to conduct and document the Annual Program Evaluation as part of the program's continuous improvement process. (Core)
- 5.5.a. The Program Evaluation Committee must be composed of at least two program faculty members, at least one of whom is a core faculty member, and at least one resident. (Core)
- 5.5.b. Program Evaluation Committee responsibilities must include review of the program's self-determined goals and progress toward meeting them. (Core)

5.5.c. Program Evaluation Committee responsibilities must include guiding ongoing program improvement, including development of new goals, based upon outcomes. (Core)

5.5.d. Program Evaluation Committee responsibilities must include review of the current operating environment to identify strengths, challenges, opportunities, and threats as related to the program's mission and aims. (Core)

Background and Intent: To achieve its mission and educate and train quality physicians, a program must evaluate its performance and plan for improvement in the Annual Program Evaluation. Performance of residents and faculty members is a reflection of program quality, and can use metrics that reflect the goals that a program has set for itself. The Program Evaluation Committee utilizes outcome parameters and other data to assess the program's progress toward achievement of its goals and aims. The Program Evaluation Committee advises the program director through program oversight.

5.5.e. The Program Evaluation Committee should consider the outcomes from prior Annual Program Evaluation(s), aggregate resident and faculty written evaluations of the program, and other relevant data in its assessment of the program . (Core)

Background and Intent: Other data to be considered for assessment include:

- Curriculum
- ACGME letters of notification, including citations, Areas for Improvement, and comments
- Quality and safety of patient care
- Aggregate resident and faculty well-being; recruitment and retention; engagement in quality improvement and patient safety; and scholarly activity
- ACGME Resident and Faculty Survey results
- Aggregate resident Milestones evaluations, and achievement on in-training examinations (where applicable), board pass and certification rates, and graduate performance.
- Aggregate faculty evaluation and professional development

5.5.f. The Program Evaluation Committee must evaluate the program's mission and aims, strengths, areas for improvement, and threats. (Core)

[Pending the outcome of the major revision of the Common Program Requirements, enforcement of Common Program Requirement 5.5.f. has been suspended]

5.5.g. The Annual Program Evaluation, including the action plan, must be distributed to and discussed with the residents and the members of the teaching faculty,

and be submitted to the DIO. (Core)

- 5.5.h. The program must complete a Self-Study and submit it to the DIO. (Core)

[Pending the outcome of the major revision of the Common Program Requirements, enforcement of Common Program Requirement 5.5.h. has been suspended]

Board Certification

One goal of ACGME-accredited education is to educate physicians who seek and achieve board certification. One measure of the effectiveness of the educational program is the ultimate pass rate.

The program director should encourage all eligible program graduates to take the certifying examination offered by the applicable American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying board.

5.6. Board Certification

For specialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual written exam, in the preceding three years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that specialty. (Outcome)

- 5.6.a. For specialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial written exam, in the preceding six years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that specialty. (Outcome)

- 5.6.b. For specialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual oral exam, in the preceding three years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that specialty. (Outcome)

- 5.6.c. For specialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial oral exam, in the preceding six years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that specialty. (Outcome)

- 5.6.d. For each of the exams referenced in 5.6.a.-c., any program whose graduates over the time period specified in the requirement have achieved an 80 percent pass rate will have met this requirement, no matter the percentile rank of the program for pass rate in that specialty. (Outcome)

Background and Intent: Setting a single standard for pass rate that works across specialties is not supportable based on the heterogeneity of the psychometrics of different examinations. By using a percentile rank, the

performance of the lower five percent (fifth percentile) of programs can be identified and set on a path to curricular and test preparation reform.

There are specialties where there is a very high board pass rate that could leave successful programs in the bottom five percent (fifth percentile) despite admirable performance. These high-performing programs should not be cited, and 5.6.d. is designed to address this.

- 5.6.e. Programs must report, in ADS, board certification status annually for the cohort of board-eligible residents that graduated seven years earlier. (Core)

Background and Intent: It is essential that residency programs demonstrate knowledge and skill transfer to their residents. One measure of that is the qualifying or initial certification exam pass rate. Another important parameter of the success of the program is the ultimate board certification rate of its graduates. Graduates are eligible for up to seven years from residency graduation for initial certification. The ACGME will calculate a rolling three-year average of the ultimate board certification rate at seven years post-graduation, and the Review Committees will monitor it.

The Review Committees will track the rolling seven-year certification rate as an indicator of program quality. Programs are encouraged to monitor their graduates' performance on board certification examinations.

In the future, the ACGME may establish parameters related to ultimate board certification rates.

Section 6: The Learning and Working Environment

The Learning and Working Environment

Residency education must occur in the context of a learning and working environment that emphasizes the following principles:

- *Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by residents today*
- *Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by today's residents in their future practice*
- *Excellence in professionalism*
- *Appreciation for the privilege of caring for patients*
- *Commitment to the well-being of the students, residents, faculty members, and all members of the health care team*

Culture of Safety

A culture of safety requires continuous identification of vulnerabilities and a willingness to transparently deal with them. An effective organization has formal mechanisms to assess the knowledge, skills, and attitudes of its personnel toward safety in order to identify areas

for improvement.

- 6.1. The program, its faculty, residents, and fellows must actively participate in patient safety systems and contribute to a culture of safety. (Core)

Patient Safety Events

Reporting, investigation, and follow-up of safety events, near misses, and unsafe conditions are pivotal mechanisms for improving patient safety, and are essential for the success of any patient safety program. Feedback and experiential learning are essential to developing true competence in the ability to identify causes and institute sustainable systems-based changes to ameliorate patient safety vulnerabilities.

- 6.2. Residents, fellows, faculty members, and other clinical staff members must know their responsibilities in reporting patient safety events and unsafe conditions at the clinical site, including how to report such events. (Core)
- 6.2.a. Residents, fellows, faculty members, and other clinical staff members must be provided with summary information of their institution's patient safety reports. (Core)
- 6.3. Residents must participate as team members in real and/or simulated interprofessional clinical patient safety and quality improvement activities, such as root cause analyses or other activities that include analysis, as well as formulation and implementation of actions. (Core)

Quality Metrics

Access to data is essential to prioritizing activities for care improvement and evaluating success of improvement efforts.

- 6.4. Residents and faculty members must receive data on quality metrics and benchmarks related to their patient populations. (Core)
[See FAQ in Appendix]

Supervision and Accountability

Although the attending physician is ultimately responsible for the care of the patient, every physician shares in the responsibility and accountability for their efforts in the provision of care. Effective programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, define, widely communicate, and monitor a structured chain of responsibility and accountability as it relates to the supervision of all patient care.

Supervision in the setting of graduate medical education provides safe and effective care to patients; ensures each resident's development of the skills, knowledge, and attitudes required to enter the unsupervised practice of medicine; and establishes a foundation for continued professional growth.

- 6.5. Residents and faculty members must inform each patient of their respective roles in that patient's care when providing direct patient care. This information must be available to residents, faculty members, other members of the health care team,

and patients. (Core)

Background and Intent: Each patient will have an identifiable and appropriately credentialed and privileged attending physician (or licensed independent practitioner as specified by the applicable Review Committee) who is responsible and accountable for the patient's care.

- 6.6. The program must demonstrate that the appropriate level of supervision in place for all residents is based on each resident's level of training and ability, as well as patient complexity and acuity. Supervision may be exercised through a variety of methods, as appropriate to the situation. (Core)

Background and Intent: Appropriate supervision is essential for patient safety and high-quality teaching. Supervision is also contextual. There is tremendous variability of resident-patient interactions, training locations, and resident skills and abilities, even at the same level of the educational program. The degree of supervision for a resident is expected to evolve progressively as the resident gains more experience, even with the same patient condition or procedure. The level of supervision for each resident is commensurate with that resident's level of independence in practice; this level of supervision may be enhanced based on factors such as patient safety, complexity, acuity, urgency, risk of serious safety events, or other pertinent variables.

[See FAQ in Appendix]

Levels of Supervision

To promote appropriate resident supervision while providing for graded authority and responsibility, the program must use the following classification of supervision.

6.7. Direct Supervision

The supervising physician is physically present with the resident during the key portions of the patient interaction.

The supervising physician and/or patient is not physically present with the resident and the supervising physician is concurrently monitoring the patient care through appropriate telecommunication technology.

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 6.7.a. PGY-1 residents must initially be supervised directly, only as described in the above definition. (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 6.7.a.1. A supervising physician must be immediately available to be physically present for PGY-1 residents on inpatient rotations who have demonstrated the skills sufficient to progress to indirect supervision. (Core)

Indirect Supervision

The supervising physician is not providing physical or concurrent visual or audio supervision but is immediately available to the resident for guidance and is available to provide appropriate direct supervision.

Oversight

The supervising physician is available to provide review of procedures/encounters with feedback provided after care is delivered.

- 6.8. The program must define when physical presence of a supervising physician is required. (Core)**
[See FAQ in Appendix]
- 6.9. The privilege of progressive authority and responsibility, conditional independence, and a supervisory role in patient care delegated to each resident must be assigned by the program director and faculty members. (Core)**
 - 6.9.a. The program director must evaluate each resident's abilities based on specific criteria, guided by the Milestones. (Core)**
 - 6.9.b. Faculty members functioning as supervising physicians must delegate portions of care to residents based on the needs of the patient and the skills of each resident. (Core)**
 - 6.9.c. Senior residents or fellows should serve in a supervisory role to junior residents in recognition of their progress toward independence, based on the needs of each patient and the skills of the individual resident or fellow. (Detail)**
- 6.10. Programs must set guidelines for circumstances and events in which residents must communicate with the supervising faculty member(s). (Core)**
 - 6.10.a. Each resident must know the limits of their scope of authority, and the circumstances under which the resident is permitted to act with conditional independence. (Outcome)**

Background and Intent: The ACGME Glossary of Terms defines conditional independence as: Graded, progressive responsibility for patient care with defined oversight.

- 6.11. Faculty supervision assignments must be of sufficient duration to assess the knowledge and skills of each resident and to delegate to the resident the appropriate level of patient care authority and responsibility. (Core)**
- 6.12. Professionalism**
Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must educate residents and faculty members concerning the professional and ethical responsibilities of physicians, including but not limited to their obligation to be appropriately rested and fit to provide the care required by their patients. (Core)

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the professional responsibility of residents and faculty members to arrive for work adequately rested and ready to care for patients. It is also the responsibility of residents, faculty members, and other members of the care team to be observant, to intervene, and/or to escalate their concern about resident and faculty member fitness for work, depending on the situation, and in accordance with institutional policies. This includes recognition of impairment, including from illness, fatigue, and substance use, in themselves, their peers, and other members of the health care team, and the recognition that under certain circumstances, the best interests of the patient may be served by transitioning that patient's care to another qualified and rested practitioner.

- 6.12.a.** The learning objectives of the program must be accomplished without excessive reliance on residents to fulfill non-physician obligations. (Core)

Background and Intent: Routine reliance on residents to fulfill non-physician obligations increases work compression for residents and does not provide an optimal educational experience. Non-physician obligations are those duties which in most institutions are performed by nursing and allied health professionals, transport services, or clerical staff. Examples of such obligations include transport of patients from the wards or units for procedures elsewhere in the hospital; routine blood drawing for laboratory tests; routine monitoring of patients when off the ward; and clerical duties, such as scheduling. While it is understood that residents may be expected to do any of these things on occasion when the need arises, these activities should not be performed by residents routinely and must be kept to a minimum to optimize resident education.

- 6.12.b.** The learning objectives of the program must ensure manageable patient care responsibilities. (Core)

Background and Intent: The Common Program Requirements do not define "manageable patient care responsibilities" as this is variable by specialty and PGY level. Review Committees will provide further detail regarding patient care responsibilities in the applicable specialty-specific Program Requirements and accompanying FAQs. However, all programs, regardless of specialty, should carefully assess how the assignment of patient care responsibilities can affect work compression, especially at the PGY-1 level.

- 6.12.c.** The learning objectives of the program must include efforts to enhance the meaning that each resident finds in the experience of being a physician, including protecting time with patients, providing administrative support, promoting progressive independence and flexibility, and enhancing professional

relationships. (Core)

- 6.12.d. The program director, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, must provide a culture of professionalism that supports patient safety and personal responsibility. (Core)

Background and Intent: The accurate reporting of clinical and educational work hours, patient outcomes, and clinical experience data are the responsibility of the program leadership, residents, and faculty.

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 6.12.e. Residents and faculty members must demonstrate an understanding of their personal role in the safety and welfare of patients entrusted to their care, including the ability to report unsafe conditions and safety events. (Core)
[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 6.12.f. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must provide a professional, respectful, and civil environment that is psychologically safe and that is free from discrimination, sexual and other forms of harassment, mistreatment, abuse, or coercion of students, residents, faculty, and staff. (Core)

Background and Intent: Psychological safety is defined as an environment of trust and respect that allows individuals to feel able to ask for help, admit mistakes, raise concerns, suggest ideas, and challenge ways of working and the ideas of others on the team, including the ideas of those in authority, without fear of humiliation, and the knowledge that mistakes will be handled justly and fairly.

The ACGME is unable to adjudicate disputes between individuals, including residents, faculty members, and staff members. However, information that suggests a pattern of behavior that violates the requirement above will trigger a careful review and, if deemed appropriate, action by the Review Committee and/or ACGME, in accordance with ACGME Policies and Procedures.

- 6.12.g. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should have a process for education of residents and faculty regarding unprofessional behavior and a confidential process for reporting, investigating, and addressing such concerns. (Core)

Well-Being

Psychological, emotional, and physical well-being are critical in the development of the competent, caring, and resilient physician and require proactive attention to life inside and outside of medicine. Well-being requires that physicians retain the joy in medicine while managing their own real-life stresses. Self-care and responsibility to support other members of the health care team are important components of professionalism; they are

also skills that must be modeled, learned, and nurtured in the context of other aspects of residency training.

Residents and faculty members are at risk for burnout and depression. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, have the same responsibility to address well-being as other aspects of resident competence. Physicians and all members of the health care team share responsibility for the well-being of each other. A positive culture in a clinical learning environment models constructive behaviors, and prepares residents with the skills and attitudes needed to thrive throughout their careers.

6.13. The responsibility of the program, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, must include:

6.13.a. attention to scheduling, work intensity, and work compression that impacts resident well-being; (Core)

6.13.b. evaluating workplace safety data and addressing the safety of residents and faculty members; (Core)

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the responsibility shared by the Sponsoring Institution and its programs to gather information and utilize systems that monitor and enhance resident and faculty member safety, including physical safety. Issues to be addressed include, but are not limited to, monitoring of workplace injuries, physical or emotional violence, vehicle collisions, and emotional well-being after safety events.

6.13.c. policies and programs that encourage optimal resident and faculty member well-being; and, (Core)

Background and Intent: Well-being includes having time away from work to engage with family and friends, as well as to attend to personal needs and to one's own health, including adequate rest, healthy diet, and regular exercise. The intent of this requirement is to ensure that residents have the opportunity to access medical and dental care, including mental health care, at times that are appropriate to their individual circumstances. Residents must be provided with time away from the program as needed to access care, including appointments scheduled during their working hours.

6.13.c.1. Residents must be given the opportunity to attend medical, mental health, and dental care appointments, including those scheduled during their working hours. (Core)

6.13.d. education of residents and faculty members in:

6.13.d.1. identification of the symptoms of burnout, depression, and substance use disorders, suicidal ideation, or potential for violence, including

means to assist those who experience these conditions; (Core)
[See FAQ in Appendix]

6.13.d.2. recognition of these symptoms in themselves and how to seek appropriate care; and, (Core)

6.13.d.3. access to appropriate tools for self-screening. (Core)

Background and Intent: Programs and Sponsoring Institutions are encouraged to review materials to create systems for identification of burnout, depression, and substance use disorders. Materials and more information are available in Learn at ACGME (<https://dl.acgme.org/pages/well-being-tools-resources>).

Individuals experiencing burnout, depression, a substance use disorder, and/or suicidal ideation are often reluctant to reach out for help due to the stigma associated with these conditions and may be concerned that seeking help may have a negative impact on their career. Recognizing that physicians are at increased risk in these areas, it is essential that residents and faculty members are able to report their concerns when another resident or faculty member displays signs of any of these conditions, so that the program director or other designated personnel, such as the department chair, may assess the situation and intervene as necessary to facilitate access to appropriate care. Residents and faculty members must know which personnel, in addition to the program director, have been designated with this responsibility; those personnel and the program director should be familiar with the institution's impaired physician policy and any employee health, employee assistance, and/or wellness/well-being programs within the institution. In cases of physician impairment, the program director or designated personnel should follow the policies of their institution for reporting.

6.13.e. providing access to confidential, affordable mental health assessment, counseling, and treatment, including access to urgent and emergent care 24 hours a day, seven days a week. (Core)

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that residents have immediate access at all times to a mental health professional (psychiatrist, psychologist, Licensed Clinical Social Worker, Primary Mental Health Nurse Practitioner, or Licensed Professional Counselor) for urgent or emergent mental health issues. In-person, telemedicine, or telephonic means may be utilized to satisfy this requirement. Care in the Emergency Department may be necessary in some cases, but not as the primary or sole means to meet the requirement.

The reference to affordable counseling is intended to require that financial cost not be a barrier to obtaining care.

6.14. There are circumstances in which residents may be unable to attend work, includ-

ing but not limited to fatigue, illness, family emergencies, and medical, parental, or caregiver leave. Each program must allow an appropriate length of absence for residents unable to perform their patient care responsibilities. (Core)

- 6.14.a. The program must have policies and procedures in place to ensure coverage of patient care and ensure continuity of patient care. (Core)
- 6.14.b. These policies must be implemented without fear of negative consequences for the resident who is or was unable to provide the clinical work. (Core)

Background and Intent: Residents may need to extend their length of training depending on length of absence and specialty board eligibility requirements. Teammates should assist colleagues in need and fairly reintegrate them upon return.

6.15. Fatigue Mitigation

Programs must educate all residents and faculty members in recognition of the signs of fatigue and sleep deprivation, alertness management, and fatigue mitigation processes. (Detail)

Background and Intent: Providing medical care to patients is physically and mentally demanding. Night shifts, even for those who have had enough rest, cause fatigue. Experiencing fatigue in a supervised environment during training prepares residents for managing fatigue in practice. It is expected that programs adopt fatigue mitigation processes and ensure that there are no negative consequences and/or stigma for using fatigue mitigation strategies.

Strategies that may be used include but are not limited to strategic napping; the judicious use of caffeine; availability of other caregivers; time management to maximize sleep off-duty; learning to recognize the signs of fatigue, and self-monitoring performance and/or asking others to monitor performance; remaining active to promote alertness; maintaining a healthy diet; using relaxation techniques to fall asleep; maintaining a consistent sleep routine; exercising regularly; increasing sleep time before and after call; and ensuring sufficient sleep recovery periods.

- 6.16. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure adequate sleep facilities and safe transportation options for residents who may be too fatigued to safely return home. (Core)
- 6.17. **Clinical Responsibilities**
The clinical responsibilities for each resident must be based on PGY level, patient safety, resident ability, severity and complexity of patient illness/condition, and available support services. (Core)

Background and Intent: The changing clinical care environment of medicine has meant that work compression due to high complexity has increased stress on residents. Faculty members and program directors need to make sure residents function in an environment that has safe patient care and a sense of resident well-being. It is an essential responsibility of the program director to monitor resident workload. Workload should be distributed among the resident team and interdisciplinary teams to minimize work compression.

- 6.17.a. Programs must ensure that residents' clinical responsibilities on inpatient rotations are consistent with the requirements in 4.11.e. (Core)

6.18. Teamwork

Residents must care for patients in an environment that maximizes communication and promotes safe, interprofessional, team-based care in the specialty and larger health system. (Core)

Background and Intent: Effective programs will have a structure that promotes safe, interprofessional, team-based care. Optimal patient safety occurs in the setting of a coordinated interprofessional learning and working environment.

- 6.18.a. The program must provide educational experiences that allow residents to interact with and learn from other health care professionals, including physicians in other specialties, advanced practice providers, nurses, social workers, physical therapists, case managers, language interpreters, and dietitians, in order to achieve effective, interdisciplinary, and interprofessional team-based care. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: Physician and non-physicians, core and non-core faculty members, are part of the different teams that form depending on the health care situation and on patients' health status and circumstances. The intent of the requirement is to ensure that residents will have access to the appropriate health care personnel as defined by the circumstances, and that interdisciplinary, interprofessional teams will be constituted as appropriate and as needed.

6.19. Transitions of Care

Programs must design clinical assignments to optimize transitions in patient care, including their safety, frequency, and structure. (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 6.19.a. **Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must ensure and monitor effective, structured hand-off processes to facilitate both continuity of care and patient safety. (Core)**

- 6.19.b. Programs must ensure that residents are competent in communicating with team members in the hand-off process. (Outcome)**

Clinical Experience and Education

Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must design an effective program structure that is configured to provide residents with educational and clinical experience opportunities, as well as reasonable opportunities for rest and personal activities.

Background and Intent: The terms “clinical experience and education,” “clinical and educational work,” and “clinical and educational work hours” replace the terms “duty hours,” “duty periods,” and “duty.” These terms are used in response to concerns that the previous use of the term “duty” in reference to number of hours worked may have led some to conclude that residents’ duty to “clock out” on time superseded their duty to their patients.

- 6.20. Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week**
Clinical and educational work hours must be limited to no more than 80 hours per week, averaged over a four-week period, including all in-house clinical and educational activities, clinical work done from home, and all moonlighting. (Core)

Background and Intent: Programs and residents have a shared responsibility to ensure that the 80-hour maximum weekly limit is not exceeded. While the requirement has been written with the intent of allowing residents to remain beyond their scheduled work periods to care for a patient or participate in an educational activity, these additional hours must be accounted for in the allocated 80 hours when averaged over four weeks.

Work from Home

While the requirement specifies that clinical work done from home must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, the expectation remains that scheduling be structured so that residents are able to complete most work on site during scheduled clinical work hours without requiring them to take work home. The requirements acknowledge the changing landscape of medicine, including electronic health records, and the resulting increase in the amount of work residents choose to do from home. The requirement provides flexibility for residents to do this while ensuring that the time spent by residents completing clinical work from home is accomplished within the 80-hour weekly maximum. Types of work from home that must be counted include using an electronic health record and taking calls from home. Reading done in preparation for the following

day's cases, studying, and research done from home do not count toward the 80 hours. Resident decisions to leave the hospital before their clinical work has been completed and to finish that work later from home should be made in consultation with the resident's supervisor. In such circumstances, residents should be mindful of their professional responsibility to complete work in a timely manner and to maintain patient confidentiality.

Residents are to track the time they spend on clinical work from home and to report that time to the program. Decisions regarding whether to report infrequent phone calls of very short duration will be left to the individual resident. Programs will need to factor in time residents are spending on clinical work at home when schedules are developed to ensure that residents are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks. There is no requirement that programs assume responsibility for documenting this time. Rather, the program's responsibility is ensuring that residents report their time from home and that schedules are structured to ensure that residents are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks.

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]

6.21. Mandatory Time Free of Clinical Work and Education

Residents should have eight hours off between scheduled clinical work and education periods. (Detail)

Background and Intent: There may be circumstances when residents choose to stay to care for their patients or return to the hospital with fewer than eight hours free of clinical experience and education. This occurs within the context of the 80-hour and the one-day-off-in-seven requirements. While it is expected that resident schedules will be structured to ensure that residents are provided with a minimum of eight hours off between scheduled work periods, it is recognized that residents may choose to remain beyond their scheduled time, or return to the clinical site during this time-off period, to care for a patient. The requirement preserves the flexibility for residents to make those choices. It is also noted that the 80-hour weekly limit (averaged over four weeks) is a deterrent for scheduling fewer than eight hours off between clinical and education work periods, as it would be difficult for a program to design a schedule that provides fewer than eight hours off without violating the 80-hour rule.

6.21.a. Residents must have at least 14 hours free of clinical work and education after 24 hours of in-house call. (Core)

Background and Intent: Residents have a responsibility to return to work rested, and thus are expected to use this time away from work to get adequate rest. In support of this goal, residents are encouraged to prioritize sleep over other discretionary activities.

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 6.21.b. Residents must be scheduled for a minimum of one day in seven free of clinical work and required education (when averaged over four weeks). At-home call cannot be assigned on these free days. (Core)**

Background and Intent: The requirement provides flexibility for programs to distribute days off in a manner that meets program and resident needs. It is strongly recommended that residents' preference regarding how their days off are distributed be considered as schedules are developed. It is desirable that days off be distributed throughout the month, but some residents may prefer to group their days off to have a "golden weekend," meaning a consecutive Saturday and Sunday free from work. The requirement for one free day in seven should not be interpreted as precluding a golden weekend. Where feasible, schedules may be designed to provide residents with a weekend, or two consecutive days, free of work. The applicable Review Committee will evaluate the number of consecutive days of work and determine whether they meet educational objectives. Programs are encouraged to distribute days off in a fashion that optimizes resident well-being, and educational and personal goals. It is noted that a day off is defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms as "one (1) continuous 24-hour period free from all administrative, clinical, and educational activities."

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 6.22. Maximum Clinical Work and Education Period Length**
Clinical and educational work periods for residents must not exceed 24 hours of continuous scheduled clinical assignments. (Core)
- 6.22.a. Up to four hours of additional time may be used for activities related to patient safety, such as providing effective transitions of care, and/or resident education. Additional patient care responsibilities must not be assigned to a resident during this time. (Core)**

Background and Intent: The additional time referenced in 6.22.a. should not be used for the care of new patients. It is essential that the resident continue to function as a member of the team in an environment where other members of the team can assess resident fatigue, and that supervision for post-call residents is provided. This 24 hours and up to an additional four hours must occur within the context of 80-hour weekly limit, averaged over four weeks.

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 6.23. Clinical and Educational Work Hour Exceptions**
In rare circumstances, after handing off all other responsibilities, a resident, on their own initiative, may elect to remain or return to the clinical site in the following circumstances: to continue to provide care to a single severely ill or unstable

patient; to give humanistic attention to the needs of a patient or patient's family; or to attend unique educational events. (Detail)

- 6.23.a. These additional hours of care or education must be counted toward the 80-hour weekly limit. (Detail)

Background and Intent: This requirement is intended to provide residents with some control over their schedules by providing the flexibility to voluntarily remain beyond the scheduled responsibilities under the circumstances described above. It is important to note that a resident may remain to attend a conference, or return for a conference later in the day, only if the decision is made voluntarily. Residents must not be required to stay. Programs allowing residents to remain or return beyond the scheduled work and clinical education period must ensure that the decision to remain is initiated by the resident and that residents are not coerced. This additional time must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

- 6.24. A Review Committee may grant rotation-specific exceptions for up to 10 percent or a maximum of 88 clinical and educational work hours to individual programs based on a sound educational rationale.

The Review Committee for Internal Medicine will not consider requests for exceptions to the 80-hour limit to the resident work week.

[Pending the outcome of the major revision of the Common Program Requirements, enforcement of Common Program Requirement 6.24. has been suspended]

- 6.25. **Moonlighting**
Moonlighting must not interfere with the ability of the resident to achieve the goals and objectives of the educational program, and must not interfere with the resident's fitness for work nor compromise patient safety. (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 6.25.a. Time spent by residents in internal and external moonlighting (as defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms) must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. (Core)

- 6.25.b. PGY-1 residents are not permitted to moonlight. (Core)
[See FAQ in Appendix]

- 6.26. **In-House Night Float**
Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)

- 6.26.a. Residents must not be assigned more than two months of night float during any year of the educational program, or more than four months of night float during the course of the residency. (Core)

- 6.26.b. Residents must not be assigned to more than one month of consecutive night float rotation. (Core)

Specialty-Specific Background and Intent: Night float rotations are designed to either eliminate in-house call or to assist other residents during the night. Residents assigned to night float are assigned on-site duty during evening/night shifts and are responsible for admitting or cross-covering patients until morning and will not have daytime assignments or ongoing primary responsibility for these patients. The Committee has limited the number a program can assign because it believes too many such rotations can negatively affect resident well-being and contribute to burnout and fatigue. Overnight shifts occurring during critical care rotations (in the medical intensive care unit or the critical care unit) do not count towards night float, but towards the maximum six months of required critical care time. Overnight emergency medicine assignments do not count towards night float.

6.27. Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency

Residents must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)

6.28. At-Home Call

Time spent on patient care activities by residents on at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. The frequency of at-home call is not subject to the every-third-night limitation, but must satisfy the requirement for one day in seven free of clinical work and education, when averaged over four weeks. (Core)

[See FAQ in Appendix] [See FAQ in Appendix]

- 6.28.a. **At-home call must not be so frequent or taxing as to preclude rest or reasonable personal time for each resident.** (Core)

Background and Intent: As noted in 6.20., clinical work done from home when a resident is taking at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. This acknowledges the often significant amount of time residents devote to clinical activities when taking at-home call, and ensures that taking at-home call does not result in residents routinely working more than 80 hours per week. At-home call activities that must be counted include responding to phone calls and other forms of communication, as well as documentation, such as entering notes in an electronic health record. Activities such as reading about the next day's case, studying, or research activities do not count toward the 80-hour weekly limit.

In their evaluation of residency/fellowship programs, Review Committees will look at the overall impact of at-home call on resident/fellow rest and personal time.

[See FAQ in Appendix]

7. Frequently Asked Questions: Internal Medicine

FAQs related to Internal Medicine Program Requirements

Last updated July 2026

Review Committee for Internal Medicine

Section 1: Oversight

Questions concerning *"There must be a program letter of agreement (PLA) between the program and each participating site that governs the relationship between the program and the participating site providing a required assignment. (Core)"* (1.3)

Q: What is the purpose of program letters of agreement (PLAs)?

A: PLAs provide details on faculty members, supervision, evaluation, educational content, length of assignment, and policies and procedures for each required assignment that occurs outside of an accredited program's Sponsoring Institution. These documents are intended to protect the program's residents/fellows by ensuring an appropriate educational experience under adequate supervision.

Questions concerning *"There must be a program letter of agreement (PLA) between the program and each participating site that governs the relationship between the program and the participating site providing a required assignment. (Core)"* (1.3)

Q: Under what circumstances are PLAs required?

A: There must be PLAs between an accredited program and all participating sites to which residents/fellows rotate for required education or assignments. PLAs are not required for participating sites under the same governance as the program's Sponsoring Institution. PLAs are not required for elective rotations for individual residents and fellows, but programs and Sponsoring Institutions may determine if there are local expectations for a PLA or similar agreement.

Questions concerning *"There must be a program letter of agreement (PLA) between the program and each participating site that governs the relationship between the program and the participating site providing a required assignment. (Core)"* (1.3)

Q: Are PLAs necessary for "courses," such as the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology course or the Bellevue Hospital Toxicology Course?

A: These types of courses are not examples of participating sites, and therefore do not require PLAs.

Questions concerning *"There must be a program letter of agreement (PLA) between the program and each participating site that governs the relationship between the program and the participating site providing a required assignment. (Core)"* (1.3)

Q: Who should sign the PLAs?

- A:** It is the responsibility of the designated institutional official (DIO), in collaboration with the Graduate Medical Education Committee (GMEC) of the Sponsoring Institution, to establish and administer the local policies and procedures regarding PLAs and determine the individuals authorized to sign the PLAs.

Section 2: Personnel

Questions concerning *"Program Director"* (2.1)

- Q:** Can one individual be the program director for both a core internal medicine program and a subspecialty program?
- A:** No. The Review Committee does not allow one person to be the program director of an internal medicine program and a subspecialty program. The many administrative responsibilities and dedicated time associated with being program director of a single program preclude one person from holding this position in both a residency and subspecialty program.

Questions concerning *"The program director and, as applicable, the program's leadership team, must be provided with support adequate for administration of the program based upon its size and configuration. (Core)"* (2.4) , *"At a minimum, the program director must be provided with the dedicated time and support specified below for administration of the program: (Core)"* (2.4.a) , *"The program coordinator must be provided with dedicated time and support adequate for administration of the program based upon its size and configuration. (Core)"* (2.12.a) , *"At a minimum, the program coordinator must be provided with the dedicated time and support specified below for administration of the program. Additional administrative support must be provided based on the program size as follows: (Core)"* (2.12.b)

- Q:** Are there circumstances in which a Sponsoring Institution, in partnership with its programs, is required to provide support and dedicated time that exceeds the minimum specified in the requirements?
- A:** The dedicated time and support requirements for ACGME activities specified in 2.4. and 2.4.a. for program leadership, 2.12.a. and 2.12.b. for program coordinators, and section 2.11. for those specialties that specify a minimum level of support for core faculty members, are minimum requirements, recognizing that, depending on the unique needs of the program, additional support may be warranted. The need to ensure adequate resources, including adequate support and dedicated time for the program director, is also addressed in Institutional Requirements 2.2.-2.2.d. The amount of support and dedicated time needed for individual programs will vary based on a number of factors and may exceed the minimum specified in the applicable specialty-/subspecialty-specific Program Requirements. It is expected that the Sponsoring Institution, in partnership with its accredited programs, will ensure support for program directors, core faculty members, and program coordinators to fulfill their program responsibilities effectively. If the Institutional Review Committee determines that support and dedicated time for one or more programs within a Sponsoring Institution is inadequate, it may issue a citation even if the minimum specified in the applicable specialty-/subspecialty-specific Program Requirements has been met.

Note that Review Committees may choose to specify minimum time and support for the program director only, or may specify minimum time and support that may be divided among the program director and one or more associate or assistant program directors. Questions regarding the requirements for a specific specialty should be directed to the Review Committee Executive Director.

Questions concerning *"At a minimum, the program director must be provided with the dedicated time and support specified below for administration of the program: (Core)" (2.4.a)*

Q: Can some of the program director's minimum required FTE specified for the administration of the program be allocated/distributed to the associate program director or core faculty members?

A: No, the Review Committee does not support reducing or redistributing the minimum FTE for the program director.

Questions concerning *"At a minimum, the program director must be provided with the dedicated time and support specified below for administration of the program: (Core)" (2.4.a)* , *"Programs with more than 15 residents must appoint an associate program director(s). The associate program director(s) must be provided with support equal to a dedicated minimum time for administration of the program as follows: (Core)" (2.4.b)* , *"At a minimum, the required core faculty members, in aggregate and excluding program leadership, must be provided with support equal to an average dedicated minimum of 0.1 FTE for educational and administrative responsibilities that do not involve direct patient care. (Core)" (2.11.c)*

Q: Can the minimum required dedicated time and support (FTE) specified for the administration of the program for program director, associate program director, and core faculty be distributed by the program, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, as they see fit?


A: Programs have a great deal of flexibility in terms of distributing the minimum required support. The example below highlights this flexibility. A program with an approved complement of 36 is required to have the following:

- One program director (PD) at .50 FTE
- At least one associate program director (APD) at .50 FTE
- At least four core faculty members certified in internal medicine or a subspecialty of internal medicine with an aggregate FTE of .40

As the graphic shows, the program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, could choose to operationalize the FTE for the associate program director(s) and core faculty members as they see fit. The 40 percent FTE can be operationalized as four ABIM- or AOBIM-certified faculty members each with 10 percent FTE support, or in the other ways noted below. The FTE for the associate program director(s) can also be distributed as the program and Sponsoring Institution see fit. It can distribute the 50 percent FTE among multiple associate program directors, but it can also allocate some of the FTE to the program director. The FTE for program director can be increased, but it can't be lower than what appears in the Program Requirements.

36 Resident IM Program

Total FTE for PD, APD, core faculty = **140%**



Questions concerning *"The Review Committee only accepts current certification in internal medicine from the ABIM or AOBIM. (Core) "* (2.5.a.1)

- Q:** For the program director, what board certification qualifications are acceptable to the Review Committee?
- A:** The Review Committee accepts only current certification in internal medicine by the American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM) or the American Osteopathic Board of Internal Medicine (AOBIM). The Review Committee may withhold accreditation of applications that are not led by ABIM- or AOBIM-certified internists. Individuals who are subspecialty certified can be program director if they maintain their internal medicine certification. Internal medicine program directors cannot let their internal medicine certification lapse and maintain only a subspecialty certificate.

Note: ABIM requires verification of residents' clinical competence from an ABIM-certified program director. For additional information on this, contact the ABIM.

Questions concerning *"Faculty members with credentials appropriate to the care setting must supervise all clinical experiences. (Core) "* (2.7.a)

- Q:** Can nurse practitioners or physician assistants supervise residents on inpatient rotations? What about outpatient rotations?
- A:** Although it is important for residents to acquire experience leading and participating in health care teams in the inpatient setting with non-physicians (e.g., nurse practitioners or physician assistants), overall supervision of clinical care rendered by residents is the responsibility of the physician faculty and attending physician of record. Non-physicians are not permitted to independently supervise residents on inpatient rotations. The attending physician may delegate an appropriately qualified non-physician to assist a resident in performing a procedure.

Supervision of residents by non-physicians is allowed in specialized outpatient settings for specific learning experiences (e.g., gynecology clinic, sexually transmitted disease clinic, wound care clinic, home visits, nursing homes), where the non-physicians have the appropriate qualifications and been granted authority by applicable institutional and state regulations to perform and supervise the clinical activity. Non-physicians are not allowed to supervise residents in the continuity clinic, other general medical clinics, or medicine subspecialty clinics (e.g., pulmonary clinic, general infectious disease clinic, hematology-oncology clinic).

Questions concerning *"In addition to the program director and associate program director(s), programs must have the minimum number of core faculty members based on the number of approved resident positions, as follows. (Core)"* (2.11.b) , *"report directly to the program director; (Core)"* (2.11.e.1)

- Q:** Can associate program directors, core faculty members, and subspecialty education coordinators be subspecialty-certified only?
- A:** Although the Review Committee accepts only ABIM or AOBIM certification for the minimum required number of associate program directors, core faculty members, and SECs, these individuals can be subspecialty certified only (i.e., they can allow their internal medicine certification to lapse).

Section 3: Resident Appointments

Questions concerning *"Resident Complement"* (3.4)

- Q:** When must the program notify the Review Committee of a temporary change in its complement?
- A:** Any extension in education and training that results in the program being over its approved complement for three months or less does not require approval by the Review Committee. Any extension over three months requires the program to submit a complement increase request in the Accreditation Data System (ADS).

Section 4: Educational Program

Questions concerning *"at least 10 months of clinical experiences in the outpatient setting; (Core)"* (4.11.c.1)

- Q:** What counts toward the 10 months of clinical experiences in the outpatient setting? Specifically, if a program uses an X+Y schedule, does the program director need to parse out the continuity clinic experiences and only count the clinic time toward the 10 months?
- A:** The Review Committee has not established specific guidelines detailing what counts toward the outpatient requirement. Continuity clinic activities count toward the 10 months of clinical experiences in the outpatient setting but are not the only experiences that count toward meeting this requirement. Other examples include the following:
- If residents spend two weeks on a rheumatology office/outpatient rotation, programs can count these two weeks toward the minimum outpatient requirement.
 - If residents are on rotations that involve both inpatient and outpatient experiences, programs will need to assess and determine what portion of the residents' assignments take place in each setting, much like they

do when they complete the block diagram.

- If residents are participating in subspecialty, continuity, or non-continuity clinic visits using telemedicine, programs can count that time toward the minimum outpatient requirement.
- For a program using X + Y scheduling, if the residents' activities during the Y weeks ("ambulatory block rotations") are outpatient related, then the entire time can count toward the outpatient requirement. As such, all patient management activities and didactics related to outpatient topics during such ambulatory block rotations count toward the minimum.

Questions concerning *"at least 10 months of clinical experiences in the outpatient setting; (Core) "* (4.11.c.1)

Q: Do emergency medicine experiences count toward fulfilling the inpatient or outpatient requirements?

A: Emergency medicine assignments count toward fulfilling the requirements for 10 months of inpatient experiences. Clinical experiences in the following settings can be used to fulfill the 10 months of outpatient requirement: general internal medicine continuity clinics; internal medicine subspecialty clinics (e.g., HIV clinic); non-medicine clinics (e.g., dermatology or physical medicine and rehabilitation clinic); walk-in clinics; neighborhood health clinics; home care visit programs; urgent care clinics; and ambulatory block rotations.

Questions concerning *"clinical experiences in each of the internal medicine subspecialties; and, (Core) "* (4.11.c.3)

Q: What is necessary to provide clinical experiences in each of the internal medicine subspecialties? Is a dedicated rotation in each of the subspecialties required?

A: No, a dedicated rotation in each of the internal medicine subspecialties and geriatric medicine is not required. Programs need to establish a curriculum, identify an appropriately certified subspecialty education coordinator to oversee the experience, and ensure that residents are provided sufficient clinical exposure to the subspecialty. The Review Committee has not specified a minimum required amount of time for these experiences in the Program Requirements.

Questions concerning *"clinical experiences in geriatric medicine, hospice and palliative medicine, addiction medicine, emergency medicine, and neurology. (Core) "* (4.11.c.4)

Q: What is necessary to provide clinical experiences in hospice and palliative medicine, addiction medicine, emergency medicine, and neurology? Is a dedicated rotation in each required?

A: No, dedicated rotations in hospice and palliative medicine, addiction medicine, emergency medicine, and neurology are not required. Programs need to establish a curriculum and ensure that residents are provided sufficient clinical exposure to the subspecialty. The Review Committee has not specified a minimum required amount of time for these experiences in the Program Requirements.

Questions concerning *"residents' responsibilities must be limited to patients for whom the teaching team has diagnostic and therapeutic responsibility; (Core) "* (4.11.e.1)

Q: Can patients admitted to a teaching service be transferred to a non-teaching service?

- A:** Transfers may occur between teaching and non-teaching services when such transfers enhance the educational value of the experience. Such transfers are expected to be infrequent. Programs need to develop policies that govern transfers from teaching to non-teaching services and to monitor the impact of these policies on resident education. The Review Committee does not believe it is appropriate for residents to be used as admitting coverage for non-teaching services.

Questions concerning *"non-physician faculty members must not supervise internal medicine residents on inpatient rotations; (Core) "* (4.11.e.3)

- Q:** Can nurse practitioners or physician assistants supervise residents on inpatient rotations? What about outpatient rotations?

- A:** Although it is important for residents to acquire experience leading and participating in health care teams in the inpatient setting with non-physicians (e.g., nurse practitioners or physician assistants), overall supervision of clinical care rendered by residents is the responsibility of the physician faculty and attending physician of record. Non-physicians are not permitted to independently supervise residents on inpatient rotations. The attending physician may delegate an appropriately qualified non-physician to assist a resident in performing a procedure.

Supervision of residents by non-physicians is allowed in specialized outpatient settings for specific learning experiences (e.g., gynecology clinic, sexually transmitted disease clinic, wound care clinic, home visits, nursing homes), where the non-physicians have the appropriate qualifications and been granted authority by applicable institutional and state regulations to perform and supervise the clinical activity. Non-physicians are not allowed to supervise residents in the continuity clinic, other general medical clinics, or medicine subspecialty clinics (e.g., pulmonary clinic, general infectious disease clinic, hematology-oncology clinic).

Questions concerning *"PGY-1 residents must not be assigned more than five new patients per admitting day; (Core) "* (4.11.e.7) , *"an additional two patients may be assigned if they are in-house transfers from the medical services. (Core) "* (4.11.e.7.a) , *"when supervising more than one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the supervision or admission of more than 10 new patients and four transfer patients per admitting day or more than 16 new patients in a 48-hour period; (Core) "* (4.11.e.10)

- Q:** Are patients admitted by a night float team and then “turned over” the following day to the daytime inpatient team counted toward the PGY-1 resident’s “new patient in an admitting day” cap?

- A:** Patients admitted by the night float resident and “turned over” the following day to the inpatient team are considered transfer patients. The PGY-1 resident can be assigned up to two transfer patients (from night float or other services) in addition to five new patients per admitting day. Any additional transfer patients are counted as new patients. The supervising resident can be assigned up to four transfer patients in addition to 10 new patients per admitting day.

Questions concerning *"PGY-1 residents must not be assigned more than five new patients per admitting day; (Core) "* (4.11.e.7) , *"PGY-1 residents must not be assigned more than eight new patients in a 48-hour period; (Core) "* (4.11.e.8) , *"PGY-1 residents must not be responsible for*

the ongoing care of more than 10 patients; (Core) " (4.11.e.9) , "when supervising more than one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the supervision or admission of more than 10 new patients and four transfer patients per admitting day or more than 16 new patients in a 48-hour period; (Core) " (4.11.e.10) , "when supervising one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the ongoing care of more than 14 patients; and, (Core) " (4.11.e.11) , "when supervising more than one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the ongoing care of more than 20 patients. (Core) " (4.11.e.12)

Q: Is there a cap on consults?

A: Consults are not admissions. There are no program requirements limiting the number of consults for a resident. The Review Committee cannot prescriptively and explicitly create program requirements for every patient care situation. As such, program directors need to proactively and regularly monitor the number of consults residents are asked to perform to ensure they are not burdensome or compromise education. The Review Committee does not believe that residents can adequately complete consults in addition to performing inpatient admitting responsibilities.

Questions concerning *"PGY-1 residents must not be assigned more than five new patients per admitting day; (Core) " (4.11.e.7) , "PGY-1 residents must not be assigned more than eight new patients in a 48-hour period; (Core) " (4.11.e.8) , "PGY-1 residents must not be responsible for the ongoing care of more than 10 patients; (Core) " (4.11.e.9) , "when supervising more than one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the supervision or admission of more than 10 new patients and four transfer patients per admitting day or more than 16 new patients in a 48-hour period; (Core) " (4.11.e.10) , "when supervising one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the ongoing care of more than 14 patients; and, (Core) " (4.11.e.11) , "when supervising more than one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the ongoing care of more than 20 patients. (Core) " (4.11.e.12)*

Q: What are the patient census limits for different sized inpatient teams?

A: The following table summarizes the census limits the Review Committee has specified in the Program Requirements.

<i>Daily Inpatient Caps</i>	<i>New Patients</i>	<i>In-House Transfers</i>	<i>Ongoing Care (total includes new patients and in-house transfers)</i>
PGY-1	5 (max 8 in 48 hours)	2	10
PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising 0 PGY-1s	Not specified in Program Requirements	Not specified in Program Requirements	Not specified in Program Requirements
PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising 1 PGY-1	Not specified in Program Requirements	Not specified in Program Requirements	14

PGY-2 or PGY-3	10	4	20
supervising >1 PGY-1	(this includes PGY-1s' new patients; max 16 in 48 hours)		

The Review Committee acknowledges that it has established census limits for PGY-1 residents and the most common or traditional teams, a PGY-2 or PGY-3 resident with one or more PGY-1 residents. As it noted in the Background and Intent box associated with the limits, the committee cannot prescriptively and explicitly assign patient census limits for every possible educational scenario or circumstance given the variability in clinical settings and the complexity and acuity of the patients in them. Instead, it asks program and institutional leadership teams to proactively and regularly monitor the census, complexity, and acuity of patients assigned to resident-comprised health care teams, and the structure and composition of the team, particularly the knowledge, skills, and abilities of the team members, to determine the appropriate patient team size for the situation.

In assessing the appropriateness of clinical workload for non-traditional teams, the program should solicit and appropriately consider feedback from residents and faculty members regarding wellness and manageable patient care responsibilities. Programs are encouraged to review findings from the ACGME Resident Survey, which asks residents to respond to questions related to compliance with patient census limits.

Although the Review Committee limits the number of new patients PGY-2 and PGY-3 residents with supervisory responsibilities of PGY-1 resident(s) can be assigned per admitting day (Program Requirements 4.11.e.10.-4.11.e.12., programs can exercise flexibility and deviate from these limits for PGY-3 residents who have significant experience in the inpatient setting and are interested in hospitalist medicine careers after completing residency.

Questions concerning *"PGY-1 residents must not be assigned more than five new patients per admitting day; (Core) " (4.11.e.7) , "PGY-1 residents must not be assigned more than eight new patients in a 48-hour period; (Core) " (4.11.e.8) , "PGY-1 residents must not be responsible for the ongoing care of more than 10 patients; (Core) " (4.11.e.9) , "when supervising more than one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the supervision or admission of more than 10 new patients and four transfer patients per admitting day or more than 16 new patients in a 48-hour period; (Core) " (4.11.e.10) , "when supervising one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the ongoing care of more than 14 patients; and, (Core) " (4.11.e.11) , "when supervising more than one PGY-1 resident, the PGY-2 or PGY-3 supervising resident must not be responsible for the ongoing care of more than 20 patients. (Core) " (4.11.e.12)*

Q: What are the patient census limits in the medical intensive care unit?

A: The Review Committee has not specified separate census limits for the medical intensive care unit. It asks programs to use the limits for the inpatient units noted in Program Requirements 4.11.e.7.- 4.11.e.12. as a starting point for establishing appropriate caps on the medical intensive care unit. It also cautions programs that the limits may need to be lower in the medical intensive care unit depending on the severity and acuity of patients and the structure and composition of the resident-comprised health care team, particularly the knowledge, skills, and abilities of the team members.

Questions concerning *"an additional two patients may be assigned if they are in-house transfers from the medical services. (Core) "* (4.11.e.7.a)

Q: How does the Review Committee define transfer patients?

A: The Review Committee defines transfer patients as those admitted from the night-float team or from other medical services (e.g., the critical care unit or medical intensive care unit), or bounce-back admissions within the same rotation month.

Section 5: Evaluation

Questions concerning *"Clinical Competency Committee"* (5.3)

Q: What is the role of the program director on the Clinical Competency Committee (CCC)?

A: The requirements regarding the CCC do not preclude or limit a program director's participation on the committee, however, programs should consult with Review Committee staff for any specialty or subspecialty-specific guidance. The intent is to leave flexibility for each program to decide the best structure for its own circumstances. Still, a program should consider: its program director's other roles as resident/fellow advocate, advisor, and confidante; the impact of the program director's presence on the other CCC members' discussions and decisions; the size of the program faculty; and other program-relevant factors. The program director has final responsibility for the program's evaluation and promotion decisions.

Questions concerning *"Clinical Competency Committee"* (5.3)

Q: What is the role of the program coordinator on the CCC?

A: Program coordinators play a critical role in their programs and may, through the program's resident/fellow evaluation system, provide valuable insight on resident/fellow performance in areas such as interpersonal and communication skills, teamwork, and professionalism. Further, the program coordinator may, at the program director's discretion, attend CCC meetings to support the activities of the CCC, such as collation of data on each resident/fellow, taking meeting minutes, recording decisions, and managing the submission of Milestones data to the ACGME. However, evaluation of resident/fellow competence related to the Milestones for patient care and medical knowledge is a vital responsibility of the CCC and these assessments should be made by individuals with background and experience in health care. Therefore, program coordinators, although they may administratively support the committee and take part in the 360 assessments of the residents/fellows, may not serve as voting members of the CCC.

Questions concerning *"At a minimum, the Clinical Competency Committee must include three members of the program faculty, at least one of whom is a core faculty member. (Core)"* (5.3.a)

Q: How can small programs have three program faculty members on the CCC?

A: The intent is to have enough members to broaden the input on each resident's/fellow's evaluation. Program faculty representation can include more than physician faculty members, such as other physicians and non-physicians who teach and evaluate the program's residents/fellows. For example, a fellowship may include faculty members from the affiliated residency program or from required rotations in other specialties.

Questions concerning *"At a minimum, the Clinical Competency Committee must include three*

members of the program faculty, at least one of whom is a core faculty member. (Core)" (5.3.a)

- Q:** What role can program residents, including chief residents who have not completed the program, play on the CCC?
- A:** Program residents and chief residents in accredited years of the program may provide input to the CCC Chair and/or the program director, outside the context of CCC meetings, through the evaluation system. However, to ensure that residents' peers are not involved in promotion and graduation decisions, and that they are not involved in recommendations for remediation or disciplinary actions, these residents may not serve as CCC members or attend CCC meetings.

Section 6: The Learning and Working Environment

Questions concerning *"Residents and faculty members must receive data on quality metrics and benchmarks related to their patient populations. (Core)" (6.4)*

- Q:** With regards to the requirement relating to provision of data to residents/fellows and faculty members on quality metrics and benchmarks related to their patient populations, is the expectation that individual data regarding clinical performance must be provided?
- A:** Providing individual, specialty-specific data is desirable, but not required. The requirement seeks to ensure that quality metrics used by the Sponsoring Institution are shared with residents/fellows and faculty members. Examples of metrics include, but are not limited to, those provided by the Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems, Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services, Press Ganey, and National Surgical Quality Improvement Program.

Questions concerning *"The program must demonstrate that the appropriate level of supervision in place for all residents is based on each resident's level of training and ability, as well as patient complexity and acuity. Supervision may be exercised through a variety of methods, as appropriate to the situation. (Core)" (6.6)* , *"Direct Supervision" (6.7)* , *"The program must define when physical presence of a supervising physician is required. (Core)" (6.8)*

- Q:** How should the appropriate level of supervision be determined for each resident or fellow?
- A:** The assignment of progressive responsibility for patient care to residents and fellows is an essential component of graduate medical education and is necessary to prepare residents and fellows to be independent practitioners. While decisions regarding the appropriate level of supervision are made by the program director in consultation with the CCC, the Common Program Requirements provide a framework for the progression from direct supervision to oversight. The program director determines the level of supervision required for an individual resident or fellow both by assessing the abilities and competence of the resident/fellow and the needs of the individual patient. Therefore, the level of supervision required for a resident or fellow may vary based on the circumstances.

Questions concerning *"PGY-1 residents must initially be supervised directly, only as described in the above definition. (Core)" (6.7.a)*

- Q:** Can PGY-1 residents take at-home call, and if so, what are the work hour restrictions for this?
- A:** PGY-1 residents are not initially allowed to take at-home call because appropriate supervision (either direct supervision or indirect supervision with direct supervision immediately

available) is not possible when a resident is on at-home call. However, a Review Committee may specify the circumstances and achieved competencies required for residents to progress to be supervised indirectly with direct supervision available at some point after the beginning and before the end of the PGY-1. Program directors should review the specialty-specific Program Requirements for further clarification.

Questions concerning *"The program director, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, must provide a culture of professionalism that supports patient safety and personal responsibility. (Core)"* (6.12.d) , *"Residents and faculty members must demonstrate an understanding of their personal role in the safety and welfare of patients entrusted to their care, including the ability to report unsafe conditions and safety events. (Core)"* (6.12.e) , *"Moonlighting"* (6.25) , *"PGY-1 residents are not permitted to moonlight. (Core)"* (6.25.b)

Q: In addition to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, do all other clinical and educational work hour rules apply to moonlighting (maximum clinical and educational work period length, minimum time off between shifts, etc.)?

A: The hours spent moonlighting are counted toward the total hours worked for the week. No other clinical and educational work hour requirements apply, but the following requirements do:

- 6.25 "Moonlighting must not interfere with the ability of the resident/fellow to achieve the goals and objectives of the educational program, and must not interfere with the resident's/fellow's fitness for work nor compromise patient safety."
- 6.12.d.-6.12.e. "The program director, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, must provide a culture of professionalism that supports patient safety and personal responsibility. Residents/Fellows and faculty members must demonstrate an understanding of their personal role in the safety and welfare of patients entrusted to their care, including the ability to report unsafe conditions and safety events."

Questions concerning *"identification of the symptoms of burnout, depression, and substance use disorders, suicidal ideation, or potential for violence, including means to assist those who experience these conditions; (Core)"* (6.13.d.1)

Q: Can residents/fellows be required to use vacation or sick time when attending appointments during scheduled working hours?

A: The requirements do not specify whether residents/fellows will be required to use vacation or sick time for medical, dental, and mental health appointments. Programs should comply with their institution's policies regarding time off for such appointments.

The intent of this requirement is to ensure that residents and fellows are able to attend appointments as needed, and that their work schedule not prevent them from seeking care when they need it, including during scheduled call days.

Questions concerning *"Transitions of Care"* (6.19)

Q: What are the ACGME's expectations regarding transitions of care, and how should programs and institutions monitor effective transitions of care and minimize the number of such transitions?

- A:** Transitions of care are critical elements in patient safety and must be organized such that complete and accurate clinical information on all involved patients is transmitted between the outgoing and incoming individuals and/or teams responsible for the specific patient or group of patients. Sponsoring Institutions and programs are expected to have a documented process in place for ensuring the effectiveness of transitions. Scheduling of on-call assignments should be optimized to ensure a minimal number of transitions, and there should be documentation of the process involved in arriving at the final schedule. Specific schedules will depend upon various factors, including the size of the program, the acuity and quantity of the workload, and the level of resident/fellow education.

Questions concerning *"Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week"* (6.20)

- Q:** What are the ACGME's expectations regarding monitoring of clinical and educational work hours for all accredited programs?

- A:** The ACGME requires that Sponsoring Institutions and programs monitor residents'/fellows' clinical and educational work hours, including work done from home (as applicable), to ensure they comply with the requirements, but does not specify how monitoring and tracking of clinical and educational work hours should be accomplished. The ACGME does not mandate a specific monitoring approach since the ideal approach should be tailored to each program and its Sponsoring Institution.

Questions concerning *"Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week"* (6.20)

- Q:** Do the ACGME Common Program Requirements related to clinical and educational work hours apply to research activities?

- A:** The clinical and educational work hour requirements pertain to all required hours in the program (the only exceptions are reading and self-learning). When research is a formal part of the residency/fellowship and occurs during the accredited years of the program, research hours or any combination of research and patient care activities must comply with the weekly limit on hours and other pertinent clinical and educational work hour requirements.

When programs offer an additional research year that is not part of the accredited years, or when residents/fellows conduct research on their own time, making these hours identical to other personal pursuits, these hours do not count toward the limit on clinical and educational work hours. The combined hours spent on self-directed research and program-required activities should meet the test for a reasonably rested and alert resident/fellow when the resident/fellow participates in patient care.

Questions concerning *"Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week"* (6.20)

- Q:** What is included in the definition of clinical and educational work hours under the requirement limiting them to 80 hours per week?

- A:** Clinical and educational work hours are defined as all clinical and academic activities related to the residency/fellowship program. This includes inpatient and outpatient clinical care, in-house call, short call, night float and day float, transfer of patient care, and administrative activities related to patient care, such as completing medical records, ordering and reviewing lab tests, and signing orders. For call from home, time devoted to clinical work done from home and time spent in the hospital after being called in to provide patient care count toward the 80-hour weekly limit. Types of work from home that must be counted

include using an electronic health record and taking calls. Reading done in preparation for the following day's cases, studying, and research done from home do not count toward the 80 hours.

Hours spent on activities that are required in the accreditation requirements, such as membership on a hospital committee, or that are accepted practice in residency/fellowship programs, such as residents'/fellows' participation in interviewing residency/fellowship candidates, must be included in the count of clinical and educational work hours.

Time residents and fellows devote to military commitments counts toward the 80-hour limit only if that time is spent providing patient care.

Questions concerning *"Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week"* (6.20)

- Q:** If some of a program's residents/fellows attend a conference that requires travel, how should the hours be counted for clinical and educational work hour compliance?
- A:** If attendance at the conference is required by the program, or if the resident/fellow is a representative for the program (e.g., presenting a paper or poster), the hours should be included as clinical and educational work hours. Travel time and non-conference hours while away do not meet the definition of "clinical and educational work hours" in the ACGME requirements.

Questions concerning *"Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week"* (6.20)

- Q:** Why do the requirements specify that clinical work done from home must count toward the 80-hour weekly maximum, averaged over four weeks?
- A:** The requirements acknowledge the changes in medicine, including electronic health records, and the increase in the amount of work residents and fellows choose to do from home. Resident/Fellow decisions to complete work at home should be made in consultation with the resident's/fellow's supervisor. In such circumstances, residents/fellows should be mindful of their professional responsibility to complete work in a timely manner and to maintain patient confidentiality. The requirement provides flexibility for residents/fellows to do this while ensuring that the time spent completing clinical work from home is accomplished within the 80-hour weekly maximum.

Questions concerning *"Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week"* (6.20)

- Q:** How should the averaging of the clinical and educational work hour requirements (e.g., 80-hour weekly limit, one day free of clinical and educational work every week, and call no more frequently than every third night) be handled? For example, what should be done if a resident/fellow takes a vacation week?
- A:** Averaging must occur by rotation. This is done over one of the following: a four-week period; a one-month period (28-31 days); or the period of the rotation if it is shorter than four weeks. When rotations are shorter than four weeks in length, averaging must be made over these shorter assignments. This avoids heavy and light assignments being combined to achieve compliance.

If a resident/fellow takes vacation or other leave, the ACGME requires that vacation or

leave days be omitted from the numerator and the denominator for calculating clinical and educational work hours, call frequency, or days off. The requirements do not permit a “rolling” average, because this may mask compliance problems by averaging across high and low clinical and educational work hour rotations. The rotation with the greatest hours and frequency of call must comply with the common clinical and educational work hour requirements.

Questions concerning *"Residents must have at least 14 hours free of clinical work and education after 24 hours of in-house call. (Core)"* (6.21.a)

Q: If a post-call resident/fellow remains on site for up to four additional hours as described in the requirements, does the required 14-hour time-off period begin at the end of the scheduled 24-hour period, or when the resident/fellow leaves the hospital?

A: The 14-hour time-off period begins when the resident/fellow completes all required clinical and educational work, regardless of when the resident/fellow was scheduled to leave.

Questions concerning *"Residents must be scheduled for a minimum of one day in seven free of clinical work and required education (when averaged over four weeks). At-home call cannot be assigned on these free days. (Core)"* (6.21.b)

Q: Since the requirements state that residents/fellows must be provided with one day in seven free from all responsibilities, with one day defined as one continuous 24-hour period, how should programs interpret this requirement if the “day off” occurs after a resident’s/fellow’s on-call day?

A: The requirements specify a 24-hour day off. This day should ideally be a calendar day (i.e., the resident/fellow wakes up at home and has a whole day available). It is not permissible to have the day off regularly or frequently scheduled on a resident’s/fellow’s post-call day, but in smaller programs this may occasionally be necessary. Note that in this case, a resident/fellow would need to leave the hospital post-call early enough to allow for 24 hours off from clinical and educational work. Because call from home does not require a rest period, the day after home call may be used as a day off.

Questions concerning *"Up to four hours of additional time may be used for activities related to patient safety, such as providing effective transitions of care, and/or resident education. Additional patient care responsibilities must not be assigned to a resident during this time. (Core)"* (6.22.a)

Q: What activities are permitted during the four hours allowed for activities related to patient safety and/or resident/fellow education?

A: Residents/fellows who have completed a 24-hour clinical and educational work period may spend up to an additional four hours on site to ensure an appropriate, effective, and safe transition of care (including rounds), to maintain continuity of patient care, and to participate in educational activities such as conferences. During this four-hour period, residents/fellows must not be permitted to participate in the care of new patients in any patient care setting; must not be assigned to outpatient clinics, including continuity clinics; and must not be assigned to participate in a new procedure, such as an elective scheduled surgery. Residents/fellows who have satisfactorily completed the transition of care may attend an educational conference that occurs during this four-hour period.

Questions concerning *"At-Home Call"* (6.28)

- Q:** Is it permissible for residents/fellows to take call from home for extended periods, such as a month?
- A:** No. The requirement for one day free every week prohibits being assigned home call for an entire month. Assignment of a partial month (more than six days but fewer than 28 days) is possible. However, keep in mind that call from home is appropriate if service intensity and frequency of being called is low. Program directors are expected to monitor the intensity and workload resulting from home call through periodic assessment of workload and intensity of in-house activities.

Questions concerning *"At-Home Call"* (6.28) , *"At-home call must not be so frequent or taxing as to preclude rest or reasonable personal time for each resident. (Core)"* (6.28.a)

- Q:** Which requirements apply to time in the hospital after being called in from home call?
- A:** For call taken from home (home or pager call), the time a resident/fellow spends in the hospital after being called in counts toward the weekly clinical and educational work hour limit. The only other numeric clinical and educational work hour requirement that applies is the one day free of clinical and educational work every week that must be free of all patient care responsibilities, which includes at-home call. Program directors must monitor the intensity and workload resulting from at-home call through periodic assessment of the frequency of being called into the hospital, and the length and intensity of the in-house activities.

When residents/fellows assigned to at-home call return to the hospital to care for patients, a new time-off period is not initiated, and therefore the requirement for eight hours between shifts does not apply. The frequency and duration of clinical work done from home and time returning to the hospital must not preclude rest or reasonable personal time for residents/fellows.

Other

- Q:** Can the clinical and educational work hour requirements be relaxed over holidays or during other times when a hospital is short-staffed, during periods when some residents/fellows are ill or on leave, or when there is an unusually large patient census or demand for care?
- A:** The ACGME expects that clinical and educational work hours in any given four-week period comply with all applicable requirements. This includes months with holidays, during which institutions may have fewer staff members available. During the holiday period, scheduling for the rotation (generally four weeks or a month) must comply with the common and specialty-specific clinical and educational work hour requirements. Further, the schedule during the holidays themselves may not violate common clinical and educational work hour requirements (such as the requirement for adequate rest between clinical and educational work periods), or specialty-specific requirements.
- Q:** Where are the ACGME Resident/Fellow and Faculty Survey -Common Program Requirements Crosswalk documents located?
- A:** The crosswalk documents for the Resident/Fellow and Faculty Surveys can be found on the ACGME website [here](#). These resources help programs, residents, fellows, and faculty understand and interpret their ACGME Resident/Fellow and Faculty Survey results by map-

ping ACGME survey questions to the corresponding Common Program Requirements.